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Abstract

Background: Studies have shown that a mother’s history of child maltreatment is associated with her child’s experience of internalising and externalising difficulties.

Aims: To characterise the mediating pathways that underpin this association.

Method: Data on a mother’s history of child maltreatment, depression during pregnancy, postnatal depression, maladaptive parenting practices and her child’s experience of maltreatment and internalising and externalising difficulties were analysed in an ALSPAC sample of 9,397 mother-child dyads followed prospectively from pregnancy to age 13.

Results: Maternal history of child maltreatment was significantly associated with offspring internalising and externalising difficulties. Maternal antenatal depression, postnatal depression and offspring child maltreatment were observed to significantly mediate this association independently.

Conclusions: Psychological and psychosocial interventions focused around treating maternal depression, particularly during pregnancy, and safeguarding against adverse childhood experiences could be offered to mothers with traumatic childhood histories to help protect against psychopathology in the next generation.

Declaration of interest: None
Studies investigating the impact of a mother’s experiences of maltreatment in her own childhood (henceforth referred to as “maternal child maltreatment”) suggest an association with elevated rates of offspring emotional and behavioural difficulties across childhood and adolescence.\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^10\) Some of these studies have tested for mediating effects, with maternal mental health difficulties and maladaptive parenting practices most frequently observed to be significant mediators of the association between maternal child maltreatment and child emotional and behavioural difficulties.\(^1,3\)\(^,5\)\(^–\)\(^7,10\) However, it is widely recognised that many factors that influence child wellbeing do not occur in isolation, but are often influenced by other factors, many of which commonly go unmeasured.\(^11\) For example, while much research has demonstrated a link between maternal mental health problems and child emotional and behavioural difficulties,\(^12\)\(^–\)\(^16\) contemporary research suggests that maternal affective symptomatology during pregnancy increases risk for child psychopathology independently to risk conferred by later maternal affective problems, such as during the postnatal period and child’s later developmental years.\(^17\)\(^–\)\(^19\) Whilst researchers have demonstrated an association between maternal child maltreatment and increased risk of maternal affective problems specifically in pregnancy,\(^4\) the mediating effect of perinatal mental health in the association between maternal child maltreatment and preadolescent emotional and behavioural difficulties has not yet been examined. Similarly, child maltreatment is another factor that adversely affects psychological development and wellbeing, such that children who have experienced maltreatment have been observed to experience greater emotional and behavioural difficulties.\(^20\)\(^–\)\(^23\) However, how offspring child maltreatment is related to the association between maternal child maltreamnt and offpsing adjustment problems remains unclear.\(^4,6,9\)
The overarching aim of the present study was to characterise the pathways that underpin the association between maternal child maltreatment and child internalising and externalising difficulties in preadolescence. We tested a model of multiple parallel and serial mediation trajectories that assessed for the independent and cumulative mediating effects of maternal antenatal depression, maternal postnatal depression, maternal maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment. The following predictions were made: (i) maternal child maltreatment will predict child internalising and externalising difficulties separately; (ii) maternal antenatal depression, maternal postnatal depression, maternal maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment will operate as independent mediators; (iii) there will be an indirect effect of maternal antenatal depression through subsequent maternal postnatal depression, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment demonstrating separate cumulative effects; (iv) there will be an indirect effect of maternal postnatal depression through maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment demonstrating separate cumulative effects.

Method

Sample

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a UK longitudinal birth cohort of mother-child dyads. All pregnant women resident in the former Avon Health Authority in southwest England having an estimated date of delivery between April 1991 and December 1992 were invited to take part; 14,541 pregnant women were recruited. For the present study, mother-child dyads were included if (i) the child was alive at 1 year, and (ii) full data on the mother’s history of childhood maltreatment was available. This resulted in a sample of 9,397 mother-child dyads. Basic sociodemographic characteristics of this sample are presented in Table 1.
Design

A longitudinal design was employed. Maternal-and-child based data were retrieved primarily from maternal-rated postal questionnaires sent at various time points between pregnancy and child age 13 years, as well as from child-rated questionnaires completed at age 8.

Measures

Maternal child maltreatment. Maternal experience of physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse and neglect during childhood (< 18 years) was rated from maternal self-report questionnaire data collected at 12 and 32 weeks’ gestation and 2 years 9 months. At 12 weeks’ gestation mothers were asked if they had been sexually assaulted as a child (yes/no). At 32 weeks’ gestation mothers were asked if they’d been sexually abused as a child (yes/no), if they’d experienced childhood physical cruelty (yes/no) or childhood emotional cruelty (yes/no). At 2 years 9 months, mothers were asked if they’d been emotionally or physically neglected as a child (yes/no) as well as if they’d been physically abused as a child (yes/no). As there was limited information on details such as duration or onset of maltreatment experiences, binary variables of each type of historical abuse and neglect as rated at either of the two time points of assessment were generated. These were used to generate a binary variable of maternal child maltreatment: mothers who answered “yes” to any form of abuse or neglect were rated as having experienced child maltreatment (1), whilst mothers who reported no instances of abuse or neglect were rated as non-maltreated (0). A continuous variable was also generated which summed the number or types of maltreatment reported (0-4).

Maternal antenatal depression. Expectant mothers completed the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) at 18 and 32 weeks of pregnancy. Expectant mothers
were rated as depressed (1) versus non-depressed (0) using the advised cut-off of \( \geq 13 \) to identify likely depressed women, based on the highest score from the two time points.

**Maternal postnatal depression.** Mothers completed the EPDS at 8 weeks postnatal and 8 months postnatal. Mothers were also rated as depressed (1) versus non-depressed (0) based on a score of \( \geq 13 \) at either time point.

**Maternal maladaptive parenting.** At 3 years 11 months mothers reported on their parenting practices. Mothers reported on their use of shouting and slapping and feelings of hostility towards their child. Shouting and slapping behaviour was rated separately and coded as present if mothers reported daily use of each of these behaviours (e.g. how often to you shout at/slap your child?). Mothers answered yes or no to questions about often getting irritated with their child and having a battle of wills with them. A binary variable of maladaptive parenting (present [1] versus absent [0]) was generated in which maladaptive parenting was rated as presented if mothers were rated as expressing any of these types of behaviours/attitudes. A continuous variable was also generated which summed the number or reports of maladaptive parenting indices (0-4).

**Child maltreatment.** Mothers reported on their child’s experience of physical (e.g. child was physically hurt by someone? [yes/no]), and sexual abuse (e.g. child was sexually abused by someone? [yes/no]) at 1 year 6 months, 2 years 6 months, 3 years 6 months, 4 years 9 months, 5 years 9 months, 6 years 9 months and 8 years 7 months. At 1 year 6 months mothers were asked about their child’s physical and sexual abuse experiences since the child was 6 months old, whilst at all further time points mothers rated abuse experienced for the time interval between the current and preceding assessment. At 8 months postnatal, 1 year 9 months, 2 years 9 months, 3 years 11 months and 6 years 11 months, mothers rated their child’s experience of emotional abuse (e.g. mum or partner has
been emotionally cruel to child? [yes/no]). The first rating was based on experiences since
birth, with all subsequent rating based on the interval between successive assessment time
points. Separate binary variables of physical abuse, sexual abuse and emotional abuse were
generated based on “yes” ratings for each abuse type at any time interval. At 8 years 6
months children self-reported on their experiences of peer victimisation using the Bullying
and Friendship Interview Schedule. A child was classed as having experienced peer
victimisation if they were rated as having experienced at least one type (overt, relational) of
peer victimisation. Finally, a binary variable (maltreated [1] versus non-maltreated [0]) was
generated indicating a child to have been maltreated based on positive ratings of at least
any one type of abuse (sexual, physical, emotional) or victimisation experience. A summed
variable was also generated based on the number of counts of abuse and victimisation
experienced by a child (0-4).

Child preadolescent emotional and behavioural difficulties. At 10 years 8 months, and
13 years 10 months, mothers completed the Development and Well-being Assessment
(DAWBA), and at 11 years 8 months they completed the Strengths and Difficulties
Questionnaire (SDQ). At 10 years 8 months and 13 years 10 months, maternal ratings of
child depressive symptoms in the past month were summed separately to generate two
measures (10, 13 years) of DSM-IV depression symptoms (0-12). Similarly, maternal ratings
of symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), conduct disorder (CD) and
oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), based on presence in the last 6 months for ADHD and
ODD, and last 12 months for CD, were summed for each time point separately to generate a
10-year and 13-year measure of DSM-IV disruptive behaviour disorder (DBD) symptoms (0-
34). Individual subscale scores of the SDQ at 11 years 8 months were used as measures of
11-year emotional and behavioural problems.
Confounding variables. Mothers reported on their partner status at 8 months (1 = single, 0 = partnered), their level of education at 32 weeks of pregnancy (non-completion of school = 0, O levels = 1, vocational qualification = 2, A levels = 3 and bachelor degree or greater = 5) and their smoking (number of cigarettes per day) and drinking (number of drinks per day) during pregnancy at 32 weeks’ gestation. At 12 weeks’ gestation mothers were asked if they’d ever experienced severe depression, anorexia nervosa, schizophrenia, alcoholism or a drug addiction (yes/no). Mothers were rated with a psychiatric history if they answered yes to one form of psychiatric problem (history = 1, no history =0). At 1 year 9 months mothers reported on their perceived level of social support on a 10-item questionnaire, whereby higher scores indicated greater social support, and lower scores less perceived social support. Notably, many of these factors occurred in aggregation, suggesting cumulative risk. Child gender was coded 0 = boy, 1 = girl.

Ethics

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees.

Data analysis

Data analysis proceeded in four main steps. First, univariate associations between study variables were analysed to determine the relationship between maternal child maltreatment and measures of child psychopathology, as well as to identify potential mediators and confounders to this association. At the second step, confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was applied to evaluate whether a two-factor model of child internalising and externalising difficulties was appropriate. At the third step, structural equation modelling (SEM) was conducted through the estimation of structural regression models with the aim of testing the predictive effect of maternal child maltreatment on child internalising and
externalising difficulties. Finally, mediation analysis was conducted to assess mediating pathways.

Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21 and Mplus Version 7.1. Data were assessed for normality using probability-probability plots and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and for homogeneity of variance using Levene’s test. For data that did not satisfy tests of normality and homogeneity of variance, non-parametric statistical tests were applied. Multicollinearity between variables was assessed using the variance inflation factor. Parameter estimates were computed using maximum likelihood estimation in all CFA and SEM models. Fit indices included the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), the Standardised Room Mean Square Residual (SRMR) and the model chi square ($\chi^2_M$). A CFI $\geq 0.95$ is suggestive of a good fit and RMSEA values $\leq 0.06$ and SRMR values $\leq 0.08$ are suggestive of acceptable fit. Bootstrapping was applied with the generation of 95% bias-corrected bootstrap CIs as inferential test of the direct and indirect effects in all mediation analyses.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Maternal child maltreatment. Overall, 27.0% ($n = 2,536$) of mothers were classed as having been maltreated during their childhood. In the whole sample, 6.2% ($n = 586$) of mothers reported sexual abuse, 7.1% ($n = 665$) reported physical abuse, 7.5% ($n = 706$) reported emotional abuse and 21.9% ($n = 2,058$) reported neglect. Of the mothers who reported maltreatment, 62.0% ($n = 1,572$) experienced one form of maltreatment, 22.4% ($n = 569$) experienced two forms of maltreatment, 10.9% ($n = 275$) experienced three forms of maltreatment and 4.7% ($n = 120$) experienced all forms of maltreatment.
Maternal depression. Measures of a mother’s depression during pregnancy (antenatal depression) and across her child’s first year of life (postnatal depression) were calculated. Mothers were rated as depressed (≥ 13) versus non-depressed for both time periods: 18.1% (n = 1,704) of mothers met threshold for antenatal depression and 12.8% (n = 1,205) of mothers met threshold for postnatal depression. There was a high degree of association between antenatal and postnatal depression: mothers depressed during pregnancy were significantly more likely to be depressed during their child’s first year of life, 40.2%, compared to mothers not depressed during pregnancy, 6.7% (OR = 9.3, 95% CI [8.2, 10.7]), \( \chi^2 (1) = 1,356.9 \ p < 0.001, n = 9,029 \). The mean EPDS score across pregnancy was 6.70 (SD = 4.4, n = 9,160), and 5.61 (SD = 4.2, n = 9,259) across the first year of life.

Maladaptive parenting. Indices of maladaptive parenting comprised daily use of maternal shouting (24.7%, n = 2,185) and slapping (1.2%, n = 103), and maternal reports of frequent irritation (33.1%, n = 3,111) and disagreement (49.4%, n = 4,638) with her child. Indices were summed to generate a maladaptive parenting score ranging from 0-4 (M = 1.6, SD = 1.1, n = 9,197).

Child maltreatment. Maltreatment was rated if a child was reported to have experienced any type of abuse, neglect or bullying: 39.0% (n = 9,397) of children were classified as having experienced maltreatment. Indices of child maltreatment included physical abuse (13.4%, n = 1,259), sexual abuse (0.5%, n = 49), emotional abuse (9.8%, n = 920) and bullying by peers (24.3%, n = 2,286). A summed score of number of maltreatment types was also generated which ranged from 0-4 (M = 0.5, SD = 0.7, n = 9,397). Of the children classed as having experienced maltreatment, 79.7% (n = 2,922) experienced one form of maltreatment, 17.6% (n = 645) experienced two forms of maltreatment, 2.7% (n =
98) experienced three forms of maltreatment and 0.05% (n = 2) experienced all forms of maltreatment.

*Child emotional and behavioural difficulties.* Maternal ratings of child DSM-IV depressive disorder symptoms were summed at 10 (M = 0.3, SD = 1.0, n = 6,207) and 13 (M = 0.3, SD = 1.0, n = 5,591) years. Mothers also reported on child internalising difficulties as defined by the SDQ at 11 years: emotional problems (M = 1.4, SD = 1.7, n = 6,025) and peer problems (M = 1.0, SD = 1.5, n = 5,791). Maternal ratings of child DSM-IV DBD (ADHD, CD, ODD) symptoms were summed at 10 (M = 5.6, SD = 8.4, n = 6,599) and 13 (M = 5.1, SD = 8.1, n = 6,075) years. Mothers also reported on child externalising difficulties as defined by the SDQ at 11 years: conduct problems (M = 1.1, SD = 1.4, n = 5,983) and hyperactivity problems (M = 2.7, SD = 2.2, n = 5,981).

*Group differences between maltreated and non-maltreated mothers*

Group differences between mothers classed as maltreated versus non-maltreated were calculated. As presented in Table 2, analyses revealed that children of maltreated mothers had significantly greater emotional and behavioural difficulties at 10, 11 and 13 years, as evidenced by greater DSM-IV symptoms of depression (MDD, depression NOS) and DBDs (ADHD, CD, ODD), as well as greater emotional, peer, conduct and hyperactivity problems as captured by the SDQ. Significant positive associations were also observed between maternal child maltreatment and all four potential mediating variables: maternal antenatal depression, maternal postnatal depression, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment. Furthermore, in comparison with non-maltreated mothers, mothers maltreated in childhood were significantly more likely to have a lower level of education, to have a psychiatric history, to drink and smoke more in pregnancy and to have lower social support.
Testing a measurement model of child psychopathology: confirmatory factor analysis

A two-factor confirmatory solution was specified in which DSM-IV symptoms of depression at 10 at 13 years, and SDQ emotional and peer problems scales at 11 years, were entered as indicators of an “internalising” latent factor, whilst DSM-IV symptoms of DBD at 10 and 13 years, and SDQ conduct and hyperactivity problems scales at 11 years, were entered as indicators of an “externalising” latent factor. This two-factor solution demonstrated good model fit (CFI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.066 [90% CI, 0.61 - 0.70], SRMR = 0.041, $\chi^2_M(19) = 628.4, p < 0.01, n = 7,416$). Standardised factor loadings ranged from 0.37 to 0.61 for internalising, and from 0.61 to 0.86 for externalising.

Testing mediating pathways: structural regression models

Maternal child maltreatment was entered as the predictor variable; internalising and externalising latent factors indicated by DSM-IV and SDQ symptoms and problems operated as the outcome variables; and maternal antenatal depression, maternal postnatal depression, maternal maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment were entered as mediator variables. Child internalising and externalising difficulties were regressed on maternal child maltreatment and all mediator variables. Maternal postnatal depression, maternal maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment were regressed on maternal antenatal depression. Additionally, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment were regressed on maternal postnatal depression. Maternal education, partner status, psychiatric history, antenatal drinking and smoking, social support and child gender were entered as covariates. The model demonstrated good fit (CFI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.037 [90% CI, 0.035 - 0.039], SRMR = 0.025, $\chi^2_M(94) = 1,070.4, p < 0.01, n = 7,689$). Path estimates (standardised regression coefficients [\(\beta_s\)]) are presented in Figure 1.

Mediation analysis
There was evidence of significant mediation for both internalising (total indirect effect: \( \beta = 0.05, 95\% \) bootstrap CI [0.04, 0.05]) and externalising difficulties (total indirect effect: \( \beta = 0.04, 95\% \) bootstrap CI [0.03, 0.04]). Table 3 presents estimates of the specific indirect effects for each pathway. Analysis revealed that maternal child maltreatment significantly predicted child internalising difficulties directly (direct effect: \( \beta = 0.06, 95\% \) bootstrap CI [0.03, 0.08]) and externalising difficulties directly (direct effect: \( \beta = 0.04, 95\% \) bootstrap CI [0.006, 0.07]). The total effect of maternal child maltreatment on child internalising difficulties was \( \beta = 0.11 \) (95\% bootstrap CI [0.08, 0.13]) and on externalising difficulties was \( \beta = 0.07 \) (95\% bootstrap CI [0.03, 0.11]). As can be seen in Table 3, maternal antenatal depression, postnatal depression and child maltreatment, but not maladaptive parenting, were observed to mediate significantly the association between maternal child maltreatment and both internalising and externalising difficulties, in an independent manner. Maladaptive parenting only exerted an indirect effect when preceded by postnatal maternal depression. Notably, antenatal depression was observed to exert a mediated effect by directly increasing postnatal depression and child maltreatment. These data suggest that the timing of maternal depression is relevant, such that maternal antenatal depression increases the risk for child psychopathology through increasing the risk for not only postnatal depression, but also directly for child maltreatment.

Discussion

The present study used a 14-year longitudinal cohort design to investigate the impact of a mother’s history of child maltreatment on her child’s experience of internalising and externalising difficulties in preadolescence. The study reveals that maternal child maltreatment directly and indirectly predicts preadolescent internalising and externalising difficulties, while at the same time highlighting the crucial role of maternal depression.
during the antenatal period which confers an increased risk of the children being exposed to maltreatment and developing psychopathology even in the absence of postnatal depression.

**Independent mediating effects**

Results indicated that maternal antenatal depression, postnatal depression and child maltreatment, but not maladaptive parenting, showed some level of independent mediation of the association between maternal child maltreatment and both internalising and externalising difficulties. These results corroborate existent findings in the literature that identify maternal psychological distress (regardless of timing) to be a key mechanism of vulnerability transmission from mother to child. 1,3,5–7,10 In regard to existent mixed findings on the mediating effect of child maltreatment, 4,6,10 the current study shows that child maltreatment is indeed a specific mediating factor in the association between maternal child maltreated and child psychopathology.

The fact that maladaptive parenting practices were not observed to function as an independent mediator, but that they were observed to operate in serial mediation following postnatal depression, speaks to the literature detailing associations between maladaptive parenting and the other measured mediator variables, namely maternal depression and child maltreatment 32–34, and highlights issues of aggregation and confounding of risk when accounting for multiple associated risk factors. Given that maternal depression, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment frequently co-occur, the present study’s findings therefore highlight that the intergenerational transmission of vulnerability conferred by maladaptive parenting, as reported by previous research, 2,5–7 is likely better explained by the influence of maternal (postnatal) depression and child maltreatment, which is evident when these additional intergenerational risk factors are taken into account.
Timing of maternal depression

Findings relating to the indirect effects of maternal antenatal and postnatal depression were similar for both internalising and externalising disorders. The data indicate indirect effects of maternal child maltreatment on preadolescent psychopathology through maternal antenatal depression and child maltreatment, as well as through postnatal depression and child maltreatment and maladaptive parenting. This former finding suggests a specific vulnerability link between maternal depression during pregnancy and child maltreatment that is not necessarily explained by depression after birth – a finding that we first demonstrated in the South London Child Development Study.\textsuperscript{17,35} These data therefore highlight the putative role of maternal depression specifically during pregnancy in conferring risk for child vulnerability to psychopathology through directly increasing vulnerability as well as through increasing risk of exposure to further vulnerability factors such as child maltreatment and postnatal depression. Proposed explanations of this association between maternal antenatal depression and child maltreatment include a reduced capacity for care and a poorer mother-child attachment relationship, the influence of exposure to aggregated environmental risk factors, and foetal programming of foetal brain changes leading to enhanced sensitivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which confer risk for emotional lability.\textsuperscript{36,37,38–40}

Strengths and limitations

Whilst the study has several strengths, including investigation of mediation pathways using a 14-year longitudinal design of a very large community-based sample, and the measurement of child psychopathology at the level of both clinical disorder and problem symptomatology, there are methodological issues that warrant consideration. First, measures of a mother’s history of child maltreatment were made through self-report
retrospectively using a non-validated scale, thereby increasing the likelihood of recall and measurement error, although recent research has reported good convergent validity between adult patients’ self-reports of child maltreatment with clinical case notes and a psychometric self-report measure. Whilst the study benefited from a large sample size and thereby good levels of power to detect mediating effects over long time periods, using a non-experimental design has its drawbacks. Chiefly, natural aggregation of the occurrence of factors, such as maternal depression during pregnancy and after birth, cannot be prevented. Whilst advanced statistical procedures were applied to deal with issues of natural confounding and uneven group sizes, quasi-experimental designs that recruit groups based on the factor of interest would likely allow for greater control of error variance amongst the data. Other issues include the wide range of variance encompassed in factors such as maternal psychiatry history, as well as the fact that assessments of insults, such as maladaptive parenting, were measured at discrete intervals which provides only a basic measure of perseveration.

**Implications**

Findings suggest a need for early identification of, and provision of support to, mothers with traumatic childhoods as a means to protecting their own and their children’s psychological wellbeing. Specifically, interventions for expectant women with a maltreatment history and/or depression could include offering high-quality social support, improved access to psychological therapies, as well as parenting programmes aimed at promoting sensitive and warm caregiving practices. It is important that vulnerable women are identified as early as possible, such as during pregnancy when they routinely come into contact with health care services, and that support and interventions are offered on an ongoing and regular basis going forward. Future research would benefit from exploring
cognitive vulnerabilities of affected children alongside affect regulation capacities as means to informing possible child-based intervention strategies.
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### Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal level of education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O levels</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational qualification</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A levels</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor degree</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal partnering status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Child ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed race</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ethnicity</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Group differences between mothers maltreated in childhood versus non-maltreated mothers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal factors</th>
<th>Maternal child maltreatment</th>
<th>Group effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post 16 years education, %</td>
<td>No (n = 6,861)</td>
<td>Yes (n = 2,536)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single, %</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric history, %</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression, %</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal drinking, M (SD)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal smoking, M (SD)</td>
<td>1.6 (4.4)</td>
<td>2.6 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal depression, %</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maladaptive parenting, M (SD)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support, M (SD)</td>
<td>21.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>19.2 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child factors</th>
<th>Maternal child maltreatment</th>
<th>Group effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child maltreatment, %</td>
<td>No (n = 6,861)</td>
<td>Yes (n = 2,536)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV depression symptoms at 10 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV DBD symptoms at 10 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>5.1 (7.7)</td>
<td>7.2 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ emotional problems, 11 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.6)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ peer problems at 11 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>0.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ conduct problems at 11 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ hyperactivity problems at 11 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV depression symptoms at 13 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-IV DBD symptoms at 13 years, M (SD)</td>
<td>4.7 (7.6)</td>
<td>6.2 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Group effects are based upon (i) Pearson’s \( \chi^2 \) test for independence for associations with two dichotomous variables and (ii) the Mann-Whitney test for associations with a dichotomous and continuous variable that did not permit parametric analyses.

* \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \).
**Figure 1.** Structural regression model for the effect of maternal child maltreatment on child internalising and externalising difficulties mediated by maternal depression, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment. Presented estimates are beta coefficients, with only statistically significant paths shown. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Table 3. Specific indirect effects of maternal child maltreatment on child internalising and externalising difficulties via maternal antenatal depression, post-birth depression, maladaptive parenting and child maltreatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Internalising difficulties</th>
<th>Externalising difficulties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.009, 0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal depression</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001, 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maladaptive parenting</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.002, 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child maltreatment</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.008, 0.020*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression ( \rightarrow ) postnatal depression</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003, 0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression ( \rightarrow ) maladaptive parenting</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000, 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression ( \rightarrow ) child maltreatment</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001, 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal depression ( \rightarrow ) maladaptive parenting</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001, 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal depression ( \rightarrow ) child maltreatment</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001, 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression ( \rightarrow ) postnatal depression ( \rightarrow ) maladaptive parenting</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001, 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenatal depression ( \rightarrow ) postnatal depression ( \rightarrow ) child maltreatment</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001, 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Presented estimates are beta coefficients. \( \rightarrow \) = via, \( \ast \) = significant indirect effect (i.e. the 95% bias-corrected bootstrap CIs do not cross zero).