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Abstract: The chronology of Permian strata in SW England is fragmentary and largely based on radiometric dating of associated volcanic units. Magnetostratigraphy from the ~2 km of sediments in the Exeter and Aylesbeare Mudstone groups was undertaken to define a detailed chronology, using the end of the Kiaman superchron, and the overlying reverse and normal polarity in the Middle and Upper Permian as age constraints. The palaeomagnetic directions are consistent with other European Permian palaeopoles, with data passing fold and reversal tests. The end of the Kiaman superchron (in the Wordian) occurs in the uppermost part of the Exeter Group. The overlying Aylesbeare Mudstone Group is early Capitanian to latest Wuchiapingian in age. The Changhsingian and most of the Lower Triassic is absent. Magnetostratigraphic comparison with the Southern Permian Basin shows that the Exeter and Aylesbeare Mudstone groups are closely comparable in age to the Havel and Elbe Subgroups of the Rotliegend II succession. The Altmark unconformities in these successions appear similar in age as the sequence boundaries in SW England, indicating both may be climate controlled. Clasts in the Exeter Group, from unroofing of the Dartmoor granite, first occurred at a minimum of ~8 Ma after formation of the granite.
Supplementary material: Additional magnetic fabric and palaeomagnetic data is available at:
http://www.geolsoc.org.uk/SUP000
Permian and Triassic successions in southern and SW England were produced following the  
Variscan orogeny and occur in a number of interconnected, sag and fault-bounded basins, the  
largest being the Wessex Basin, and various sub-basins that form the Channel Approaches Basin.  
Some basins contain up to 8 km of post-Variscan red-bed fill (Harvey et al. 1994; Hamblin et al.  
1992; Butler 1998; McKie & Williams 2009; Fig. 1). The Wessex Basin formed on Rheno-
Hercynian basement (Variscan), between the Northern Variscan Front and the Lizard-Rhenish  
Suture. The sub-basins of the Western Approaches Basin formed on Saxo-Thuringian (Variscan)  
and Rheno-Hercynian basement (McCann et al. 2006; Strachan et al. 2014). As such, these  
basins may share similar tectonic and stratigraphic histories with similarly situated basins in  
France and Germany such the Saar-Nahe and Saale basins in Germany (Roscher & Schneider  
2006; McCann et al. 2006.). However, our tectono-stratigraphic understanding of the UK basins  
is poorly integrated into the framework of European Permian basin evolution. These  
intramontane basins often lack the distinctive late Permian carbonate-evaporite, Zechstein  
successions, common in basins (e.g. Southern Permian Basin) north of the Variscan front, and  
lack the early Permian faunas of the southern Variscan basins (Roscher & Schneider 2006;  
McCann et al. 2006).

The onshore Permian-Triassic successions in the western parts of the Wessex Basin and the  
Credition Trough, outcrop as the Exeter, Aylesbeare Mudstone and Sherwood Sandstone groups  
(Figs. 1 & 2). The coastal outcrops form part of the Jurassic Coast World Heritage Site (Barton et  
al. 2011). The work of the British Geological Survey, related to the re-mapping of the Exeter  
area (Edwards et al. 1997), generated a better regional understanding of the Permian Exeter  
Group (Gp). The oldest successions outcropping in the Crediton Trough (and Torbay area) may  
extend into the latest Carboniferous (Edwards et al. 1997; Leveridge et al. 2003). The units  
below the base of the Whipton Formation (Fm) in the Exeter and Credition Trough area contain a  
variety of basaltic and lamprophyric lavas and intrusions, whose Ar-Ar and K-Ar ages (291-282  
Ma) are older than the more tightly constrained Rb-Sr, U-Pb and Ar-Ar ages (at 280 Ma) for the  
formation of the Dartmoor Granite (Scrivenner 2006). These volcanic and igneous units are coeval  
with widespread volcanic activity throughout Europe during the latest Carboniferous to early  
Permian (Timmerman 2004). The isostatic uplift and regional denudation coeval with, and  
following, Dartmoor Granite emplacement, was probably responsible for a major unconformity  
(Edwards et al. 1997) separating the Whipton Fm from the older units (Fig. 2).
Miospores from the Whipton Fm around Exeter, and younger units equivalent to the Alphington and Heavitree Breccia formations, demonstrate similarities to assemblages from the Russian Kazanian and Tatarian regional stages (Warrington & Scrivener 1990; Edwards et al. 1999). Consequently, the barren overlying Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp has been assigned to the Lower Triassic in some subsequent studies (Newell 2001; Benton et al. 2002). Since the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp is widespread in the Wessex Basin and the western approaches (Hamblin et al. 1992; Butler 1998; Evans 1990; Barton et al. 2011), a Lower Triassic mudstone-dominated lacustrine unit creates a major palaeogeographic problem. That is, southerly-derived clasts in the Lower Triassic units, in central and Northern Britain, could not have been sourced through the Wessex Basin, from the Armorican supply areas to the south, as has been widely concluded for over 100 years (Ussher 1876; Thomas 1909; Wills 1970; McKie & Williams 2009; Morton et al. 2013).

To resolve this problem, and constrain in detail the age of the Permian successions, we use magnetostratigraphy as a dating tool. The Kiaman (reverse polarity) superchron (KRPS) extends from the mid Carboniferous to the mid Permian, but had ended by the early Wordian (mid Guadalupian), after which reverse and normal polarity intervals (here called the Illawarra Superchron) occur during the remainder of the mid and late Permian, extending into the Triassic (Steiner 2006; Hounslow submitted). We demonstrate for the first time in the UK, the stratigraphic position of the end of the KRPS, and also the polarity pattern through the upper part of these successions (below the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds Fm). Our data allows a new understanding of the precise age of these units, which suggests a new relationship to the better-studied successions in the Southern Permian Basin.

**Geology and Lithostratigraphy**

Excellent exposures of the Exeter and Aylesbeare Mudstone groups occur in a series of cliff and foreshore exposures between Torbay and Budleigh Salterton (Fig. 1). These sediments have maximum burial temperatures of ca. 80±5°C attained during the early Cretaceous, with estimated maximum burial depths of 2 to 2.5 km (Carter et al. 1995). Faulting and folding structures in the Wessex Basin are a response to basin inversion, produced by N-S compression, along mostly E-W trending faults, many of which were former extensional structures. The basin inversion took place during the late Cretaceous and early Tertiary (Underhill & Stoneley 1998).
Exeter Group

The Exeter Group is predominantly the deposits of a number of alluvial fans, with aeolian dune sandstones dominating in the Dawlish Sandstone Fm, and in some units in the Torbay Breccia Fm (Fig. 2). The coastal successions in Torbay are separated from those north of Oddicombe (Fig. 1) by the Torquay-Babbacombe promontory which was a palaeogeographic feature in the Permian (Laming 1966). Mapping work indicates the Torbay Breccia Fm (Leveridge et al. 2003), can be divided into a number of separate breccias units with differing clast contents (unpublished work of DJCL). The Watcombe Fm which is an on-lapping mudstone-rich breccia unit, which north of the Torquay-Babbacombe promontory is unconformably overlain by the Oddicombe Breccia Fm (and the equivalent Paignton breccias south of this). On the coastal outcrops the Watcombe Fm has a 9-20° dip-discordance with the overlying Oddicombe Breccia (9° at Whitsand Bay and 20° at Oddicombe Cove; Figs. 1, 3d; Laming 1982). The lower parts of the Torbay Breccia Fm (Roundham Head breccias, with clasts derived from SW) are generally poor in volcanic clasts (Laming 1982) like the oldest unit (the Cadbury Breccia Fm; Edwards & Scrivener 1999) in the Crediton Trough, and by inference may have similar ages, prior to the early Permian basaltic volcanism.

The various breccia units below the Dawlish Sandstone Fm are largely distinguished by their clast contents. These contain a variety of lithologies (limestone, sandstone, vein quartz, quartzite and slate) from various Variscan basement units, together with a variety of volcanic rock fragments associated with the granite and its former or earlier extrusives (Laming 1982; Selwood et al. 1984; Edwards & Scrivener 1999). The Watcombe and Whipton formations consist of fine-grained sandy or muddy breccia with clasts of slate and sandstone with occasional porphyry. They contain irregularly interbedded sandstone and mudstone units (Ussher 1913), which dominate the Whipton Fm around Exeter (Edwards & Scrivener 1999). The Oddicombe Breccia Fm (Fig. 2) is rich in locally derived limestone fragments, which typically displays fining-up sequences (into poorly sorted sandstones or fine-breccias; Benton et al. 2002) several metres thick, well displayed at Maidencombe Cove and Bundle Head (Figs. 1, 4). The Alphington Breccia Fm is likewise rich in locally derived shale and sandstone fragments, and hornfelsised shale from the underlying Variscan basement (Edwards et al. 1997). The Teignmouth and Heavitree formations are distinctive in containing common clasts of pink and white perthitic feldspar (murchisonite), which Dangerfield & Hawkes (1969) interpreted as feldspar megacrysts from the roof zone of the Dartmoor granite; the supply of which probably indicates synchronous
unroofing into adjacent alluvial fan successions. The older Alphington and Oddicombe Breccia formations lack the murchisonite clasts (Selwood et al. 1984; Edwards & Scrivener 1999).

All the breccia units tend to be poorly sorted, and may locally contain a high proportion of mud or sand. The fining-up successions in the Teignmouth Breccia Fm tend to be smaller scale (< 1 m thickness) and typically display poor lateral organisation. Breccias in the upper-part of this formation have interbedded aeolian sandstone units, well displayed in the Coryton Cove area (Fig. 1; Fig. 4); which is a transitional part of this formation into the overlying Dawlish Sandstone Fm. The estimated thicknesses of the Oddicombe and Teignmouth Breccia formations vary widely between different authors, because of faulting, variable bedding dips and probably significant palaeotopography on the Variscan basement. The thicknesses of Selwood et al. (1984) are minimum thickness estimates, whereas Laming (1969; 1982) and this work suggest greater thicknesses at the upper limits indicated in Fig. 2.

The aeolian dune systems that dominate deposition in the Dawlish Sandstone Fm (Newell 2001), also display interbedded fluvial sandstone and breccia units. Around Exeter and further north in the Crediton Trough, the Dawlish Sandstone Fm onlaps onto older units, to rest on Variscan basement. The overlying Exe Breccia Fm is divisible into a lower porphyry-bearing unit (the Kenton Mbr), typical of most of the outcrop on the west of the Exe Estuary, and an overlying quartzite- and mudstone-bearing breccia (the Langstone Mbr). This upper member is well exposed at Langstone Rock (6 on Fig. 1) which in the upper part is dominated by poorly sorted sandstones and sandy siltstones (Gallois 2014; Fig. 4). The thickness of the Exe Breccia is uncertain, due to faulting along the Exe Estuary; 85 m was suggested by Selwood et al. (1984), but up to ~50 m is more likely (Laming & Roche 2013). The uppermost part of the Langstone Mbr at Lympstone and Sowden Lane (3 on Fig. 1) displays both well-developed shallow fluvial channels and aeolian sandstone units, and is gradational into the mudstones and siltstones forming the base of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp (Gallois 2014; Fig. 4). Around Exeter and in the Crediton Trough the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp is unconformable on the Dawlish Sandstone Fm, also onlapping onto older units (Edwards et al. 1997; Edwards & Scrivener 1999).

Aylesbeare Mudstone Group

The Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm is a lacustrine, red-brown mudstone-dominated unit, with interbedded fine to medium-grained fluvial and lacustrine sandstone units (thicker beds
labelled as Beds A to J by Selwood et al. 1984). These are most prominent towards the upper part of the formation, where the term Straight Point Sandstone Mbr is introduced for these persistent sandstone beds (i.e. beds I and J of Selwood et al. 1984). This member is mapped between the coast and Aylesbeare, north of which the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp is not subdivided (Edwards & Scrivener 1999). The upper few metres of the Straight Point Sandstone Mbr at outcrop has patchily developed, immature, nodular and sheet-like groundwater calcretes, locally with rhizoconcretions (Fig. 3B). The base of the overlying Littleham Mudstone Fm is taken at the base of the porphyry and murchisonite bearing breccia unit (Ormerod-Wareing 1875), which locally erosively overlies this calcrete-bearing sandstone (Fig. 3C), and grades into overlying interbedded sandstone, siltstone and mudstone beds in the basal parts of the Littleham Mudstone Fm, west of the Littleham Cove fault (Fig. 5).

The Littleham Mudstone Fm is well-exposed in the cliffs between Littleham Cove and Budleigh Salterton, but is locally disrupted by faulting in the lower part and landslips in the cliff. The complete succession in the cliffs was determined by using a montage of photographs taken from offshore, which shows the full succession is divided by a number of prominent green mudstone, thin sandstone and siltstone beds (Fig. 5). The succession in the cliffs can be divided into three units, a lower unit (Division A) east of the Littleham Cove fault with a few green mudstone beds, a middle unit (Division B) with relatively common sandstone and siltstone beds, and an upper unit (Division C) with more frequent green mudstone beds and some impersistant sandstones. The true thickness of the Littleham Mudstone Fm, in these outcrops, cannot be determined because of the uncertain displacement on the Littleham Cove fault. However, the measured cumulative thickness east and west of the fault (216 m), is similar to the ~205 m and 230 m measured in the Blackhill and Withycombe Rayleigh boreholes respectively (Bateson & Johnson 1992; Fig. 1), so the cliff outcrops probably represent most of the Littleham Mudstone Fm. In the Venn Ottery borehole (Fig. 1) the Littleham Mudstone Fm contains pods and veins of gypsum, and thin interbedded aeolian sandstones (Bateson & Johnson 1992; Edwards & Scrivener 1999; N.S Jones pers comm to RAE). A substantial unconformity separates the Littleham Mudstone Fm from the overlying Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds Fm, shown by the dramatic lithology change, and the sharp and irregular boundary (Fig. 3A) with some authors suggesting a small bedding dip difference (Irving, 1888). Gallois (2014) has suggested this contact is conformable.
Regional relationships

Broadly the Permian units in the study area can be divided into 5 genetic sequences (Pm1 to Pm5), bounded by a hiatus or unconformity (Fig. 2). The upper three of these are all characterised by basal breccias units (low stand deposits), with conformable transitions into overlying finer-grained upper parts. The relationships of the successions in Torbay to those in the Crediton Trough area is less certain. It is probable that the earliest parts of the Torbay Breccia Fm is timing-related to the Cadbury Breccia Fm in the Crediton Trough (sequence Pm1), since both units are very poor in igneous clasts (Edwards et al. 1997). These five sequences may relate to the four sequences (A to D) seen in the Plymouth Bay Basin (Harvey et al. 1994). Their oldest megasequence A likely relates to Pm1 and megasequence B to Pm2, since it is capped by an inferred volcanic unit. Megasequence C likely relates to Pm3, and is marked by a change in orientation of the Plymouth Bay Basin depocentres. Divergent bedding dips between units under and overlying the Watcombe Fm (Pm2), suggest that the most important extensional event (Leveridge et al. 2003; Laming 1982) is at the Pm2-Pm3 boundary, consistent with depocentre orientation change in the Plymouth bay basin. Megasequence D is probably equivalent to Pm4 and Pm5, since the Pm4-Pm5 boundary is subtle to detect in the field.

The continuity of these units to the east in the central parts of the Wessex Basin is uncertain. Henson (1972) suggested, based on geophysics, that the breccia units thin to the east, so eastwards the breccias may pass into the mudstone dominated units, equated with the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp in the central parts of the Wessex Basin, which are up to ~1.5 km thick (Butler 1998; Hamblin et al. 1992). However, Henson’s data failed to detect the faults, along the Exe Estuary, so the interpretation may be flawed. In the Western Approaches basins 1 km or more of anhydritic mudstones and sandstones underlie the equivalent of the Sherwood Sandstone Gp (Evans 1990). These locally rest on a Permian volcanic sequence, presumably of a similar age to the early Permian Exeter Volcanic Rocks (Chapman 1989; Fig. 2).

Palaeomagnetic sampling

Almost the entire succession of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp is exposed in the sea-cliffs between Budleigh Salterton and Exmouth. Only the mid and upper parts of the Exe Breccia could be sampled at Lympstone (location 3 on Fig.1) and Langstone Rock (6 on Fig. 1; see Supplementary data for details). Outcrops in the lower parts of the Exe Breccia Fm (Kenton Mbr), were all too coarse-grained for palaeomagnetic sampling. Most of the Dawlish Sandstone and Teignmouth
Breccia are well exposed between Langstone Rock and Teignmouth, adjacent to the main London-Penzance railway-line (Ussher 1913; Selwood et al. 1984), but large parts are inaccessible due to rail-safety restrictions. The Dawlish Sandstone Fm was sampled in quarries near Exeter (4 and 5 on Fig. 1; Fig. 4). The uppermost part of the Teignmouth Breccia was available for sampling in the Coryton Cove and Dawlish Station sections (7 and 8 on Figs. 1, 4). Reconnaissance sampling of the Oddicombe and Watcombe Breccias was undertaken. For the most part, these units are fully exposed in sea-cliffs and foreshore exposes between Teignmouth and Oddicombe (Fig. 4). The Knowle Sandstone Fm was sampled at west Sandford (Edwards et al. 1997).

Samples from these units were collected using mostly hand samples, oriented with a compass. In total some 153 samples were collected from 13 sites (see Supplementary data), largely focussed on reddened lithologies. Cubic specimens were cut from the hand samples using a circular saw. Some samples from sandstone units in the Dawlish Sandstone and Exe and Teignmouth Breccias were poorly consolidated, and impregnated in the laboratory with a 2:1 mix of sodium silicate and water (Kostadinova et al. 2004) to consolidate them prior specimen preparation.

Laboratory Methodology

Measurements of Natural Remanent Magnetisation (NRM) were made using a CCL 3-axis cryogenic magnetometer (noise level ~0.002 mA/m), using multiple specimen positions, from which the magnetisation variance was determined. The magnetometer is not housed in a controlled space which cancels the earth’s magnetic field. Instead specimens were housed in Mu-metal boxes with an ambient magnetic field <10 nT at all times, other than when being measured or demagnetised. Generally, 1 to 3 specimens from each sample were treated to stepwise thermal demagnetisation, using a Magnetic Measurements Ltd thermal demagnetiser, in 50-40°C steps up to 700°C. Low frequency magnetic susceptibility ($K_f$) was monitored after heating stages, measured using a Bartington MS2B sensor. Specimens from the Bishops Court Quarry gave poor quality results and sister specimens were partly treated with a combination of thermal and alternating field (AF) demagnetisation, the latter conducted using a Molspin tumbling AF demagnetiser. In total 166 and 78 paleomagnetic specimens were demagnetised from the Aylesbeare Mudstone and Exeter groups respectively. The bedding dips in the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp are 5-10° in an easterly direction, so a fold test was not possible. However, in the Exeter Gp dips are more variable and up to 40°, so a fold-test was possible.
Characteristic remanent magnetisation (ChRM) directions were isolated using principal component-based statistical procedures as implemented in LINEFIND, which uses the measurement variance along with rigorous statistical procedures for identifying linear and planar structure in the demagnetisation data (Kent et al. 1983). Both linear trajectory fits and great circle (remagnetisation circle) data were used in defining the paleomagnetic behaviour, guided by objective and qualitative selection of the excess standard deviation parameter ($\rho$), which governs how closely the model variance, used for analysis, matches the data measurement variance (Kent et al. 1983). The PMAGTOOL software (available at https://www.lancs.ac.uk/staff/hounslow/default.htm) was used for the analysis of mean directions and virtual geomagnetic poles.

Progressive isothermal remanent magnetisation (IRM) up to 1T is most and 4 T is some was applied to a representative sub-set of specimens, to investigate the magnetic mineralogy. Back field demagnetisation was also used on some specimens. This used an ASC Scientific IM-30 impulse magnetiser and a Molspin pulse magnetiser. The IRM was measured using a Molspin spinner magnetometer. Thermal demagnetisation of a three component IRM was used to investigate the unblocking temperatures (Lowrie 1990). A small set of specimens were measured for magnetic hysteresis (maximum field 0.9 T) and thermomagnetic curves (maximum field 300 mT, in air using a Magnetic measurements variable field translation balance, MMVFTB).

Selected thin sections were investigated to assess the petrography of the Fe-oxides. The anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS), of selected specimens, was measured using an Agico KLY3S Kappameter, to assess the preservation of the detrital sedimentary fabric (Løvlie & Torsvik 1984; Tarling & Hrouda 1993), and to assess if fabrics had been modified by the weak tectonism.

**Magnetic Mineralogy**

Changes in the NRM intensity and $K_{44}$ of specimens are broadly related to:

a) The amount of silt and clay, with those samples having larger amounts of silt and clay generally having larger NRM intensity and $K_{44}$. For example, aeolian sandstones such as those in the Dawlish Sandstone Fm, have significantly lower NRM intensity and $K_{44}$ (Fig. 5, see Supplementary data). In the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp red mudstones possess average
NRM intensity and $K_{df}$ of 5.0 mA/m and $20.0 \times 10^{-6}$ SI respectively, compared to means of 1.8 mA/m and 7.2 $\times 10^{-6}$ SI in the red sandstone beds.

b) Reddened and non-reddened samples of the same lithology often possess dramatically different NRM intensity and $K_{df}$; with the non-reddened samples typically having lower values. For example grey, green and white sandstones in the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp have mean NRM intensity and $K_{df}$ of 0.9 mA/m and 4.4 $\times 10^{-6}$ SI respectively.

c) The average NRM intensity and $K_{df}$ shows progressively larger values into the Oddicombe Breccia and Watcombe formations (see supplementary data). This may relate to a progressive increase in volcanic-derived detritus (and iron oxide content) in the older units, which is shown by the Cs content (Merefield et al. 1981).

Specimens analysed do not saturate in IRM fields up to 4 T (Fig. 6A, C), indicating that canted antiferromagnetic minerals (haematite or goethite) are important magnetic minerals in these specimens. Durrance et al. (1978) also detected haematite as the main Fe-oxide in the Littleham Mudstone Fm, with the addition of significant amounts of superparamagnetic haematite. Thermomagnetic curves were nearly reversible and exhibited Curie temperatures of 657-669°C, and thermal demagnetisation of the IRM, shows that specimens display blocking temperatures up to 650-700°C (Fig. 6). Coercivity of remanence (Bcr) ranged between 320 and 710 mT, all suggesting predominant haematite (Frank & Nowaczyk 2008). Although the IRM does not approach saturation by 4 T (Fig. 6B), there is no clear evidence for goethite, since we have high SIRM/ $K_{df}$ values, and no well-defined Néel temperature for goethite. Percent IRM acquisition below 100 mT ($\%IRM_{100mT}$) is mostly 5%-10% of the 1T IRM, for reddened lithologies. Only in aeolian sandstone units in the Dawlish Sandstone Fm, and grey or red mottled green/grey lithologies does the $\%IRM_{100mT}$ rise above 10% to ca. 50% at maximum (Fig. 6A, C, E). Data from synthetic mixtures (Frank & Nowaczyk 2008) suggest such $\%IRM_{100mT}$ values indicate a magnetite contribution to the iron oxide load of ca. 0.05% (for red lithologies) to a maximum of ca. 1% for aeolian samples DS16 and DS100 (Fig. 6E). In specimens DS16, (from Dawlish Sandstone Fm aeolian sandstones; Fig. 6F) and L3 (grey sandstone, Littleham Mudstones Fm; Fig. 6B) the 100 mT IRM demagnetises by 450°C- 550°C, which could suggest an oxidized, or Ti-poor magnetite (Fig. 6F). The $>300$ mT coercivity component in specimen DS16 has a blocking temperature of $\sim550°C$, probably due to a pigment-dominated haematite remanence (Turner 1979) in this sample.
Petrography indicates, like other red-beds, that the haematite is present as two phases, firstly sub-micron haematite (pigmentary haematite), which coats pore perimeters and is often internal to some rock clasts; secondly as larger specular haematite particles, most obvious as detrital opaque grains (Turner 1979; Fig. 3E, F). The pore-lining pigmentary haematite is multiphase in origin, since it both coats feldspar overgrowths, and to a lesser extent, coats the grains prior to the overgrowths (observed in Dawlish Sandstone Fm only). Compaction related pressure solution at some grain contacts, shows greater amounts of pigment coating the pores, and lesser amounts between the grain contacts, demonstrating both pre and post-compaction pigmentary haematite formation, with probably the bulk of the pigment produced post compaction. Some of the pigmentary haematite may have formed pre-deposition, since it is widely dispersed within a variety of siltstone and phyllite clasts.

The specular haematite is dominated by detrital opaques, which are either present as haematite dominated particles, or compound particles in-part composed of other silicate minerals. The compound particles are occasional haematised clastic rock fragments (intraformational?) but most are of uncertain origin (Fig. 3E). These two types of specularite grains vary in abundance from about 1% to trace amounts. Larger amounts of detrital opaques tend to occur in samples that are finer-grained or less well sorted, and lesser amounts in the well-sorted aeolian sandstones.

**Magnetic Fabric**

The anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) overall shows a primary depositional magnetic fabric, characterised by vertical-to-bedding $K_{\text{min}}$ directions (Figs. 7 a-d) and largely oblate (T >0) fabrics (Figs. 7 e - h). The mudstones have the stronger AMS (greater P values) and are always oblate. The sandstones within the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp and the various breccia units show more variable AMS fabrics ranging into the prolate fields (T <0), especially so for some sandstones from the breccia units (Fig. 7e, h). This may relate to the more poorly sorted, probably more chaotically deposited grains in the breccia units (possibly related to mudflow deposition, cf. Park et al. 2013). $K_{\text{max}}$ axis trends (Figs. 7i) for specimens from the breccia units (Fig. 7l) show both N-S trends and ENE-WSW trends similar to the clast imbrication directions (typically between easterly and northerly directions) of Laming (1982) and Selwood et al. (1984). This demonstrates the $K_{\text{max}}$ directions parallel the fluvial transport directions. The N-S directed $K_{\text{max}}$ axes trends are common near the Babbacombe-Torquay promontory and in the Teignmouth Breccia Fm. Similar easterly and northeasterly $K_{\text{max}}$ axes trends are present in the
Exmouth Sandstones and Mudstones, whereas those in the Littleham Mudstone Fm are more variable.

Specimens from aeolian sandstones (from the Dawlish Sandstone Fm and upper part of the Teignmouth Breccia Fm) show a larger proportion of prolate fabrics ($T < 0$) with many more $K_{\text{min}}$ axes deviating from vertical (Figs. 7c, g). This may be partly caused by the AMS in these specimens being closer to the sensitivity limits of the KLY3S. However, it is also a reflection of the rolling grain transport on the leeward slip-faces of the aeolian dunes (Ellwood & Howard 1981), producing a grain long-axis orientation transverse to the average wind direction (Schwarzacher 1951), which was to the NW to NNW (Laming 1982; Newell 2001). This is clearly shown in the specimens from the Bishops Court Quarry in which the $K_{\text{max}}$ axes are transverse to the aeolian foresets (Edwards & Scrivener 1999).

**Mineralogical origin of magnetic properties**

In summary, the magnetisation in these units is carried dominantly by haematite, with a likely large range of grain size from superparamagnetic (pigmentary) haematite to larger (specularite) particles of remanence carrying haematite. Magnetite may make a trace contribution. A strong control on the concentration of haematite is related to the clay and silt content, and perhaps also the concentration of volcanic rock detritus. The pigmentary haematite appears to have a multiphase origin, ranging from possible pre-deposition to late diagenetic, a typical feature of European Permian red beds (Turner et al. 1995; 1999). Largely detrital, specular haematite, varies in amounts relating to the degree of sediment sorting and the sediment supply. In the breccia units the maximum susceptibility axes reflect palaeocurrent-parallel trends, shown by clast imbrication directions. In aeolian transported sediments, the transverse trends in $K_{\text{max}}$ axes reflect lee-face transport on dune slip faces. In the lacustrine mudstones the $K_{\text{max}}$ directions may represent wave-produced (or perhaps wind-related?) grain orientations in the lake playa systems hence, the AMS shows a primary depositional fabric (unaffected by tectonism), probably carried mostly by haematite.

**Palaeomagnetic Results**

The majority of the 250 specimens demagnetised show little change in $K_{\parallel}$ during demagnetisation, although the mudstones (particularly from the Littleham Mudstone Fm) tend to show alteration at $>600^\circ$C, with lower temperature alteration in some specimens (Fig. 6). In some
specimens, this alteration obscures the recovery of the remanence at higher demagnetisation temperatures.

Demagnetisation isolates two remanence components. Firstly, a positive, often northerly, steeply inclined component (Component A), between room temperature and often up to 350°C, but sometimes up to 500-600°C (Fig. 8). This component is more northerly in specimens from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp (Fisher mean, 005°, +59°, k= 7.7, Ns=135), but more southerly in specimens from the Exeter Gp (Fisher mean, 010°, +82°, k=6.7, Ns=44; see supplementary data). This component is more prevalent in the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp (79% of specimens) compared to the Exeter Gp (56% of specimens), in which it is most prevalent in specimens from the Dawlish Sandstone Fm. It does not correspond in direction particularly well to the expected modern dipole field (i.e. inclination of 68°) and probably represents a composite component comprising mostly a Brunhes (viscous?) magnetisation plus the characteristic remanence. In 10% of samples from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp, this was the only component present. In the Exeter Gp 15% of specimens are dominated by this component, the bulk of these being from the Dawlish Sandstone Fm.

A second component is recognised between about 400 and 650-700 °C that is a northerly, positively inclined or southerly, negatively inclined direction (Fig. 8), interpreted as the characteristic remanence (ChRM). In the Littleham Mudstone Fm the unblocking temperature range of this component is mostly above 500°C- 600°C, whereas in specimens from the Exeter Gp, the unblocking of the ChRM often starts at temperatures of ~400°C. Some 52% of specimens (49% in Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp and 57% in Exeter Gp) had suitable linear trajectory ChRM line fits (here termed ‘S-type’ data; Fig. 8). This S-type demagnetisation behaviour was visually classified into three quality classes, S1, S2 and S3 (Figs. 8, 9). The mean $\alpha_{95}$ linear fits and $\rho$ for these classes indicate the generally larger model variance required to accommodate the less quality line-fits (see supplementary data). Average confidence cone angles for these line-fit classes vary from 3.2 to 13.9°. The mean directions for the ChRM line-fits pass the reversal test (McFadden & McElhinney 1990), for all except those from the Littleham Mudstone Fm (Table 1; Fig. 9a).

Some 28% of specimens displayed great circle trends, of varying arc length, towards interpreted Permian reverse and normal polarity directions (here referred to as T-type demagnetization
behaviour; Fig. 8). This T-type behaviour was visually classified into three quality classes, T1, T2 and T3, based on the visual length and scatter of the demagnetisation points about the great circle, with T1 being the best quality. The mean $\alpha_{95}$ for the poles to the fitted planes, for these three data classes range from 9 to 20° (see supplementary data). These great circle fits included the origin in 67% of these cases.

Data from the Dawlish Sandstone Fm yield the least well-defined results, particularly those from Bishops Court Quarry, which are dominated by component A. These samples also display mainly low blocking temperatures (i.e. the NRM is largely demagnetised by ~500°C). Some specimens from this locality could be AF demagnetised, indicating that either these sandstones originally had no haematite, or more likely a substantial proportion of haematite had been removed, possibly by Quaternary ground water flow (e.g. Johnson et al. 1997). Notably, those samples that did not retain a ChRM generally lacked specular haematite particles in thin section, whereas samples of aeolian sandstone which possessed a ChRM often possessed specularite in small amounts. Hence, the poor palaeomagnetic behaviour in the Bishops Court Quarry samples is due to a paucity of specularite, and the dominance of pigment-dominated magnetisations, like Permian aeolian sandstones such as the Penrith Sandstone (Turner et al. 1995).

**Mean directions and paleopoles**

As well as the conventional means using the ChRM directions (Fig. 9), mean directions were also determined using ‘specimen-based’ means, by combining the great circle paths with the specimen line-fit ChRM (Table 1), to produce combined means using the method of McFadden & McElhinney (1988). This method determines a mean direction, by including the ‘fixed-point’ ChRM directions, and those points on the projected great circles, which maximise the resultant length (i.e. points on the great circle which are closest to the combined mean direction). These means are broadly similar to the line-fit ChRM means, except that for the Dawlish Sandstone and Exe Breccia, which have steeper inclination and greater dispersion (Table 1). The great-circle combined means pass the reversal test for the Littleham Mudstone Fm, Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm, and Dawlish Sandstone plus Exe Breccia formations (Table 1). Using the line-fit ChRM directions alone, the combined mean directions for the Aylesbeare Mudstone and Exeter groups pass the reversal test (Table 1).
The S-class ChRM directions for the Exeter Gp, pass the fold test, indicating the pre-folding nature of the magnetisations (Fig. 9b). The fold test of McFadden (1990) produced an f-statistic $(F [6,82])$ of 1.90. Likewise, these data pass the DC fold test of Enkin (2003), with best unfolding at 93.5%, with a 95% confidence interval of $\pm 25.2\%$. A progressive unfolding test (Watson & Enkin 1993) indicated best unfolding at 78%, with 95% confidence intervals on the percent unfolding of 34% to 114% (Fig. 9b).

The virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) data is consistent with other Permian data from stable-
Europe, confirming the Permian age of these magnetisations. The mean direction for the Exeter Gp produces a virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) similar to stable-Europe sediments from the youngest part of the KRPS (see Supplementary Data), although the mean is slightly to the east of the European apparent polar wander path of Torsvik & Cocks (2005). The Exeter Volcanic Rocks VGP of Zijderveld (1967) is similar to that from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp (Table 1), whereas the VGP pole for the Exeter Gp sediments from this study, is displaced slightly more to the east (see Supplementary Data).

**Magnetostratigraphic Interpretation**

The line-fit ChRM directions from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp (and Exe Breccia Fm) were converted to virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) latitude using the line-fit ChRM mean in Table 1 (Figs. 10a,b (iii)). For those specimens that had no line-fit, the point on their great circle nearest this mean, were used for calculating the VGP latitude (Fig. 10). All specimens were also assigned a polarity quality (Fig. 10a,b (ii)) based on the quality of demagnetisation behaviour and, if from T-class specimens, the length and end point position of the great circle trend (similar to the procedures used by Ogg & Steiner 1991; Hounslow & McIntosh 2003). One specimen of good-quality polarity (i.e. S-Type) was sufficient to define the horizon polarity, whereas with specimens of poorer quality at least two are required (Figs. 4, 10). Some 12% of specimens failed to yield data which could be used to determine horizon polarity (10% in Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp, 15% in Exeter Gp) and eight horizons failed to yield any specimens which could reliably be used to determine magnetic polarity (Figs. 4, 5). Most of these are from sandstones, with most of these in the Dawlish Sandstone Fm at Bishops Court Quarry (Fig. 4).

All the samples collected from below the Exe Breccia Fm are of reverse polarity, with those sections situated stratigraphically above the Langstone Rock outcrop having both reverse and
normal polarity (Figs. 4, 5). The single sample from the Knowle Sandstone Fm (Fig. 2; Table 1) likewise confirms the reverse polarity results from the age-equivalent Exeter Volcanic Rocks found by Creer (1957), Zijderveld (1967) and Cornwall (1967). Significantly, two sites in the Torbay Breccia Fm sampled in the reconnaissance study of Cornwall (1967) produced reverse polarity, suggesting that reverse polarity probably dominates to the base of the Exeter Gp.

Major magnetozone reverse and normal couplets have been numbered (Fig. 10) from the base of the first normal polarity samples in the Exe Breccia Fm, using the prefix EA (for Exeter-Aylesbeare). The magnetic polarities of six magnetozones are defined with multiple specimens from a single sampling horizon (EA3n.1r, EA3n.2r, EA5n.1r), and EA3r.1n is defined with a single specimen of S-class behaviour (Fig. 10b).

Discussion

The major geomagnetic polarity marker in the Permian is the end of the Kiaman reverse polarity Superchron, which has been comprehensively studied since the 1950’s in Russian successions (Molostovsky 1983; Burov et al. 1998). Studies on marine fossil-bearing rocks which demonstrate the end of the Kiaman superchron are discontinuous studies in the SW USA (Steiner 2006), and Japan (Kirschvink et al. 2015), along with studies on successions in China (Steiner et al. 1989; Embleton et al. 1996). The overlying reverse and normal polarity Illawarra Superchron, has been investigated in marine successions in the Salt Range in Pakistan, China and Iran (Haag & Heller 1991; Gallet et al. 2000; Jin et al. 2000; Steiner 2006), along with flood-basalts in China (Ali et al. 2002; Zheng et al. 2010). Studies on non-marine rocks from the Illawarra Superchron have been extensive in Russia, on outcrop and borehole material (Molostovsky 1983; Burov et al. 1998) and core material from the Southern Permian Basin (Menning et al. 1988; Nawrocki 1997; Turner et al. 1999; Lawton & Roberson 2003; Szurlies 2013). These studies together allow the magnetic polarity stratigraphy (Fig. 11) to be defined through the Roadian to Changhsingian (Steiner 2006; Hounslow submitted). The base of the Illawarra superchron is in the lower to mid Wordian, based on magnetostratigraphic data from the Grayburg Fm in Texas and New-Mexico (Steiner 2006) and limestones from Japan (Kirschvink et al. 2015).

Magnetostratigraphic studies in the southern Permian Basin well Mirow 1/1a/74 (Menning et al. 1988; Langereis et al. 2010), and wells in Poland (Nawrocki 1997) show a long-duration reverse polarity interval (equivalent to MP3r –UP1r interval), with under and overlying mixed polarity-
intervals (Fig. 11). The normal magnetozones in the Lower Drawa Fm and Havel Subgroup are probably equivalent with the MP1n to MP3n interval in the GPTS of Hounslow (submitted). Equivalent normal magnetozones in the Notec and Hannover formations, are more fully expressed by studies of the Lower Leman Sandstone, from the Johnston and Jupiter field in the southern North Sea (Turner et al. 1999; Lawton & Roberson 2003; Fig. 11). These correlations are constrained by the overlying Zechstein, and indicate that the Zechstein successions are entirely Changhsingian in age, rather than as old as early Wuchiapingian, as suggested by the conodonts *Merrillina divergens* and *Mesogondolella britannica* (Korte et al. 2005; Legler et al. 2005; Słowakiewicz et al. 2009), and the synthesis of Szurlies (2013). Like the magnetostratigraphic interpretation here (Fig. 11), Sr-isotope data indicates a short duration for the Zechstein of ~ 2 Ma, with a likely age range of 255-251.5 Ma, placing it firmly in the Changhsingian (Denison & Peryt 2009). Attempts at dating the Kupferschiefer at the base of the Zechstein (Z1 cycle) have failed to yield consistent results, with Re-Os ages giving wide 95% confidence intervals (Pašava et al. 2010).

Four pieces of information have allowed the Exeter Gp succession to be assigned to the Permian. 1) Volcanic units interbedded with the Knowle Sandstone of the Exeter area, and similar units equivalent to the Thorverton Sandstone and Bow Breccia in the Crediton Trough, have Ar-Ar ages of 291-282 Ma (Edwards & Scrivener 1999). Volcanic clasts in the breccia units give Ar-Ar dates of 280 Ma. This suggests the volcanism and associated interbedded sediments are late Sakmarian through to late Artinskian in age, using the timescale of Henderson et al. (2012).

2) The Dartmoor Granite has Rb-Sr, U-Pb and Ar-Ar ages of 280 ±1 Ma (Scrivener 2006), placing its formation in the latest Artinskian (timescale of Henderson et al. 2012). Clasts of the granite begin to occur in the unroofing succession of the Teignmouth and Heavitree Breccias (Dangerfield & Hawkes 1969; Edwards et al. 1997), indicating that these breccias were deposited several millions years after the granite formation, in order to allow time for granite unroofing.

3) Miospore assemblages containing *Lueckisporites virkkiae*, occur from the Whipton Fm, around Exeter, but also in younger units in the Crediton Trough, equivalent to the Alphington and Heavitree Breccias (Edwards et al. 1997). Assemblages containing this miospore are widespread in European Zechstein deposits and similar ‘Thuringian’ and Russian Tatarian-age assemblages (Visscher 1973; Utting 1996). In the northern hemisphere, *Lueckisporites*
virkkiae has its first appearance in the latest Kungurian (Shu 1999; Mangerud 1994) to early Roadian (lower Kazanian in Russia; Utting 1996), but occurrences range into the latest Changhsingian.

4) The foot-print trace-fossil Cheilichnus bucklandi, found in the Dawlish Sandstone near Exeter (Edwards et al. 1997) suggests equivalence to the Germanic ‘Rotliegend’ (McKeever & Haubold 1996). However, this genus is restricted to aeolian dune units and is probably only vaguely indicative of the Permian (Lucas & Hunt 2006).

Constraints on the youngest possible age of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp are magnetostratigraphy and vertebrate fossils from the overlying Otter Sandstone Formation (Hounslow & McIntosh 2003; Benton 1997), which indicate the Sherwood Sandstone Gp is as old as early Anisian (Middle Triassic), and probably ranges down into the Olenekian of the Lower Triassic (Hounslow & McIntosh 2003; Hounslow & Muttoni 2010). Based on regional climate comparisons between the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds and the ‘Conglomérat principal’ of the Vosges region in NE France, Duran d (2006) suggested a probable early Olenekian age for the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds Fm, generally consistent with the magnetostratigraphy.

This work detects the oldest normal magnetozone in the mid-parts of the Exe Breccia (i.e. EA1n), with a substantial thickness (perhaps up to ~1 to 1.5 km) of reverse polarity in the underlying parts of the Exeter Gp. Although we cannot locate the base of EA1n precisely due to lack of suitable outcrop, this normal magnetozone is the earliest evidence of the Illawarra Superchron (Fig. 11). EA1n is probably equivalent to early MP2n, or the normal polarity part of MP1. However, ~55 m of unsampled strata occur in the interval between our outcrops at the Exe Breccia- Dawlish Sandstone boundary (Figs. 4, 11). Therefore, it is possible the equivalent of the MP1n chron is within this unsampled interval. The end of the KRPS provides an important dating-point (267.1±0.8 Ma; Hounslow submitted) to the early-mid Wordian in the Middle Permian (Guadalupian). The oldest occurrence of the Lueckisporites virkkiae assemblage is found in the Whipton Fm, which suggests that this formation could be as old as latest Kungurian to early Roadian (~272 Ma; Henderson et al. 2012). This would give a minimum of ~8 Ma of exhumation time, between formation of the Dartmoor Granite, and the first granite detritus appearing in the Teignmouth- Heavitree breccias.
The overlying normal polarity magnetozone EA3n, is therefore likely to be equivalent to the MP3n normal magnetozone in the upper and mid parts of the Capitanian (Fig. 11). The EA3r magnetozone is equivalent to the MP3r to UP1r interval (in the lower part of the Wuchiapingian), with the overlying normal magnetozones (i.e. EA4n to EA5n) equivalent to those in the upper parts of the Wuchiapingian to basal Changhsingian (Fig. 11). Reverse magnetozone EA2r, in the top of the Exe Breccia is probably the equivalent of MP2r in the basal Capitanian. Sub magnetozone EA3r.1n in the Littleham Mudstone Fm is probably equivalent to UP1n in the Wuchiapingian.

Alternative Lower Triassic age models?

The alternative Lower Triassic age of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp suggested by Warrington & Scrivener (1990) and Edwards et al. (1997), is untenable using the magnetostratigraphy. To evaluate their hypothesis using this data suggests the most likely early Triassic correlation model would indicate EA3n is the age equivalent of the first Triassic magnetozone, LT1n (Fig. 11). Therefore, the overlying EA3r to EA5n interval would extend into the earliest Olenekian, an interval of some 1.4 Ma (Hounslow & Muttoni 2010). However, this seems unlikely for the following reasons:

1) The local clast lithologies (e.g. murchisonite) seen in the breccia at the base of the Littleham Mudstone Fm, are similar to those in the Exeter Gp, and very different to those found in the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds (and other Lower Triassic units further north in the UK), which contain Armorican-derived clasts and zircons from Cadomian basement (Cocks 1993; Morton et al. 2013).

2) It would require a minimum hiatus of ~ 13-15 Ma between the Exe Breccia and the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp, which seems unlikely considering the apparently conformable nature of the boundary between these formations in the Exe Estuary area.

3) If the hypothesis of Warrington & Scrivener (1990) was correct, it would predict numerous normal polarity intervals (from the Illawarra superchron) below the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp but we have only found these in the Exe Breccia Fm with no evidence of normal polarity in the underlying c.1 km of the Exeter Gp.

4) This Lower Triassic model would suggest a ~1.4 Ma duration for the EA3n to EA5n interval requiring very large accumulation rates, comparable to the deepest grabens in the Southern
Permian Basin, north of the Variscan front, which there contain substantial thicknesses of Zechstein.

Wider regional implications

A consequence of these data is that it is now possible to assess the relationship of the SW England successions to the much better studied Rotliegend-II group in the Southern Permian Basin (Fig. 11). The magnetostratigraphy suggests a similarity in age of the Altmark unconformities with the Devon Permian sequence boundaries. The magnetic polarity stratigraphy from the Mirow, Czaplinek and Piła wells suggests that the Altmark III unconformity is roughly equivalent to the base of the Littleham Mudstone Fm (base of Pm5 sequence; Fig. 2), Altmark II, with the base of sequence Pm4 (Figs. 2, 11). Less certain is the correlation of the base of unit B in the Littleham Mudstone Fm, with Altmark IV. The base of sequence Pm3 probably relates to the Altmark I unconformity, which separates the Muritz Subgroup from the Havel Subgroup, across the Saalian unconformity, since underlying successions both contain volcanic units.

The calcrete and rhizoconcretion bearing sandstone, in the uppermost part of the Straight Point Sandstone Mbr, is unusual in that no other well developed palaeosols are seen in the remainder of these Permian successions. It is not until the mid Triassic (Anisian) Otter Sandstone Fm, that calcretes began to be widely developed in SW England. The Capitanian-Wuchiapingian boundary was an interval with dramatic, but poorly understood shifts in the global carbon cycle (Nishikane et al. 2014). A tentative reason for this palaeosol development is the rapid warming associated with increased CO$_2$ in the atmosphere (and associated increased evaporation rates to create calcretes; Alonso-Zarza 2003), that developed after the extinction at the Capitanian-Wuchiapingian boundary. The peak is associated with a negative $\delta^{13}$C excursion (Chen et al. 2011; Nishikane et al. 2014) in the early Wuchiapingian, which corresponds closely to the early parts of MP3r (Zheng et al. 2010; Fig. 11).

The dramatic switch between breccia-dominated facies of the Exeter Gp to the mudstone-dominated facies of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp, occurs within the early Capitanian (Fig. 11). We tentatively relate this switch in facies to the Kamura cooling event (seen as a large positive $\delta^{13}$C excursion during the Capitanian), which began in the early Capitanian (Isozaki et al. 2011). This has been associated with lows in atmospheric CO$_2$, and cooler oceanic surface waters in both the Panthalassa and Paleo-Tethys Oceans (Isozaki et al. 2011; Nishikane et al. 2014). This
cooling event may have allowed more moisture-bearing weather systems to penetrate further northwards into the heart of Pangaea, from the Paleo-Tethys, so allowing delivery of larger amounts of mud into the playa systems of the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp.

The Southern Permian Basin, Parchim and Mirow formations shows a number of similarities to the Devon successions. The Parchim Fm dominantly comprises thick conglomeratic braidplain-type deposits, extending to sandflat and locally playa mudstone deposits in the basin centre (McCann 1998; Rieke et al. 2003). Tectonic control of facies was important during the Parchim Fm. Like the Exeter Group in sequence Pm3 (Fig. 2) the Parchim Fm has an earlier wetter phase and a later dryer phase (Rieke et al. 2003). This is overlain by the Mirow Fm which is characterized by the progradation of sand-prone fluvial facies with frequent claystones, over a much wider extent in the Southern Permian Basin than the Parchim Fm. The rarity of conglomerates (except at basin margins), with instead claystones (containing fossils indicative of freshwater conditions) and the dominance of sand-prone facies, is very different to the underlying Parchim Fm (McCann 1998). Hence, the start of the Mirow Fm sees a switch to climatically wetter conditions (Rieke et al. 2003), like those seen in the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp. The coincidence in timing and the switch to wetter environmental conditions, seen in the Devon successions and German basins, suggests these major facies changes are climatically controlled.

Conclusions

The palaeomagnetic signal in the Exeter and Aylesbeare Mudstone groups is dominantly carried by haematite, whose mean directions pass the reversal test. The remanence in the Exeter Gp passes a fold test. The AMS indicates the fabric carried by haematite is detrital in origin. Reverse polarity dominates in the lower part of the Exeter Gp, with the start of the Illawarra superchron, in the Exe Breccia Fm dated to the early Wordian. Five normal-reverse couplets are found in the overlying sediments starting in the upper part of the Exe Breccia Fm (Langstone Mbr) and into the Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp. This magnetostratigraphic data allows the Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm to be assigned to the Capitanian to the earliest Wuchiapingian, and the overlying Littleham Mudstone Fm is earliest Wuchiapingian, to as young as the Wuchiapingian-Changhsingian boundary. With these data, the Permian successions in SW England are now the most precisely dated Permian succession in the UK, and if similar methods were used elsewhere, should provide the foundation for a much better understanding of other UK Permian basins. The
similarity in the timing between facies changes and sequence boundaries, here and those of the
Rotliegend-II Group in the Southern Permian Basin, indicates that palaeoclimatic change is a
fundamental metric in their subdivision. The question of the position of the Permo-Triassic
boundary in SW England has now been effectively resolved, and ironically corresponds to the
position taken by Victorian geologists such as Irving (1888).
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Sketch map of the Permian-Triassic in SE Devon. Inset a) shows the study location within the UK, where grey=Lower Palaeozoic basement highs, dotted=Permian basins. CAB=Channel Approaches Basin, LRS=Lizard-Rhenish Suture, RHZ=Rheno-Hercynian Zone, STZ=Saxo-Thuringian Zone. In main map, numbers correspond to the sampling locations indicated in the Supplementary Data. Adapted from Selwood et al. (1984), Edwards et al. (1997). Sampling locations on coast indicated by } and in-land as ■.

Fig. 2. The stratigraphy of the Permian-Triassic around Exeter and the SE Devon coasts. Based on this work and Laming (1982), Selwood et al. (1984), Edwards & Scrivener (1999), Leveridge et al. (2003). Thicknesses of the coastal units is based on Selwood et al. (1984), Laming (1982) and this work. The chronology is based on Edwards et al. (1997), Edwards & Scrivenor (1999) and this work. The Torbay Breccia Formation occurs west of the Stickepath fault zone (SFZ, dashed in grey), and is divisible into an upper unit (the Paignton breccias, PB) probably equivalent to the Oddicombe Breccia Fm, and a lower unit composed of several separate breccias units. PTM=Petit Tor Member. Arrows indicate overstepping units.

Fig. 3. A) The erosional boundary between the Littleham Mudstone Fm (below) and the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds Fm (photo courtesy of Richard Porter). B) Immature calcrete and calcritised rootlets, top part of Straight Point Sandstone Member. C) Erosional boundary of breccia (base of arrow) at base of the Littleham Mudstone Fm, Littleham Cove (photo courtesy of Ian West). Scale arrow height=1.5 m. D) Unconformable boundary (marked in white) between the Watcombe Fm and the Oddicombe Breccia Fm, Whitsands Bay, hammer for scale. E) Detrital opaques (black) and pigmentary haematite grain coating (in red), fluvial sandstone, Dawlish Sandstone Fm, Dawlish Station section. The right hand side opaque (a haematised rock fragment) shows compactional deformation from surrounding framework grains. F) Detrital opaque showing indentation due to compaction into the surrounding quartz grains. Pigmentary haematite rims not present at opaque-quartz boundary. Fluvial sandstone in Dawlish Sandstone Fm. Pore spaces in blue. E) and F) scale bar is 100 μm.

Fig. 4. Section logs and summary palaeomagnetic data (horizon polarity, demagnetisation behaviour and specimen polarity) from sections in the Exeter Group. See Fig. 1 for location.
details. Symbols for specimen polarity and behaviour are larger for better quality behaviour.

Ticks adjacent to logs are sampling levels. Sample numbers indicated, for data shown on other figures or in the supplementary data.

Fig. 5. Section logs and summary horizon magnetic polarity data for the stratigraphic section between Lympstone (site 3 on Fig. 1) to the top of the Littleham Mudstone Fm at Budleigh Salterton (Fig. 1). Bed numbers on the log for the Exmouth Mudstones and Sandstones Fm are those of Selwood et al. (1984). The divisions in the Littleham Mudstone Fm are from this work (detailed in the supplementary data). Ticks adjacent to logs are sampling levels. Sample numbers indicated, for data shown on other figures or in the supplementary data.

Fig. 6. Isothermal remanent magnetisation curves (A, C, E) and thermal demagnetisation of orthogonal isothermal remanent magnetisation (IRM) in B, D, F, for representative specimens. The Two numbers after lithology type are the magnetic susceptibility in $10^{-5}$ SI and NRM intensity in mA/m, respectively. Specimen numbers are those shown on Figs. 4 and 5.

$sst=$sandstone; $TBF=$ Teignmouth Breccia Fm, $EBF=$ Exe Breccia Fm.

Fig. 7. Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility data for the Littleham Mudstone Fm (A, E, I), The Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm (B, F, J), aeolian sandstones in the Dawlish Sandstone and Teignmouth Breccia formations (C, G, K), and the various breccia units (D, H, I), A), B), C), D) are stereoegraphic projections of the specimen $K_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{min}}$ directions. E), F), G), H) are the AMS ellipsoid shape ($T=\frac{2(L_{\text{int}}-L_{\text{min}})}{(L_{\text{max}}-L_{\text{min}})}-1$; where $L=\ln(K_i))$ and strength ($P = \frac{K_{\text{max}}}{K_{\text{min}}}$; Tarling & Hrouda, 1993), I), J), K), L), rose diagrams showing the directions of the $K_{\text{max}}$ axes (mirrored about 0-180 axis), indicating the preferred grain long-axis directions in the bedding plane. $Ns=$number of specimens.

Fig. 8. Representative demagnetisation data from: (A, B) the Littleham Mudstone Fm, (C, D) Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm, (E) Exe Breccia Fm, (F) Dawlish Sandstone Fm, (G) Teignmouth Breccia and (H) Watcombe Fm. A) Specimen L35, normal polarity (behaviour S1, ChRM 500-660°C), B) EM30-4, reverse polarity (behaviour T1, component A, 0-500°C), C) E20, normal polarity (behaviour S2, ChRM 600°C to origin), D) EL63, reverse polarity (behaviour T1, Component A, 0-300°C), E) EB8-1A, normal polarity (behaviour S2, ChRM 300-500°C & 540°C to origin), F) DS21-1, reverse polarity (behaviour T1, steps 500°C and above noisy due to
thermal alteration), G) DS4-2, reverse polarity (behaviour S2, ChRM 500-650°C), H) WB1-4, reverse polarity (behaviour S1, ChRM 100-620°C, 680°C step shows thermal alteration). See Figs. 4, 5 for specimen locations.

Fig. 9. a) Stereographic projection of all ChRM directions, with mean of these directions indicated for the units from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Group. B) The progressive unfolding fold test of Watson & Enkin (1993), using the data from the Exeter Group; showing the change in Fisher k with unfolding (left) and a pseudo-sampling bootstrap (right) to estimate the 95% confidence interval on the percentage unfolding.

Fig. 10. A) Detailed magnetostratigraphic data for the stratigraphic section between the Lympstone sections (3 on Fig. 1) and Littleham Cove. i) Demagnetisation behaviour showing categorisation into good (S1) and poor (S3) ChRM line-fits; great circle fit quality range from good (T1) to poor (T3), and specimens with no Triassic magnetisation are indicated in the P/X column. ii) Interpreted specimen polarity quality, with those in the greyed column not assigned a polarity. Poorest quality in column headed ‘??’. iii) VGP latitude, with filled symbols for those specimens possessing an S-class ChRM, and unfilled symbols for specimens with T-class, great-circle behaviour. Polarity column: white= reversed polarity, black =normal polarity, grey= uncertain, gap=X; half bar-width indicates a single useful specimen from this horizon. B) Detailed magnetostratigraphic data for the stratigraphic section between Littleham Cove and Budleigh Salterton (1 on Fig. 1). Column details as in A).

Fig. 11. Summary magnetostratigraphic data for European Permian sections, compared to the composite geomagnetic magnetic polarity timescale (GPTS) of Hounslow (submitted). Southern North Sea data for the Leman Sandstone Fm from Turner et al. (1999) and Lawton & Robertson (2003). Czaplinski, Piła and Jaworza IG-1 well data based on Nawrocki (1997) and Słowakiewicz et al. (2009). Mirow well data from Menning et al. (1988) and Langereis et al. (2010), Schlierbachswald-4 and Everdingen 1 wells from Szurlies et al. (2003) and Szurlies (2013). Related Russian stages tied to the magnetostratigraphy from Hounslow (submitted). Conodont zones labelled with Guadalupian (G) and Lopingian (L) zonal codes from Jin et al. (2000) and Shen et al. (2010). Early Wuchiapingian carbon isotope excursions (CIE) and Kamura event duration from Chen et al. (2011), Isozaki et al. (2011).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type/ Location/ Unit</th>
<th>Dec (°)</th>
<th>Inc (°)</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>α95 (°)</th>
<th>Ni/Np</th>
<th>Reversal Test</th>
<th>G₀/Gₑ (°)</th>
<th>Plat (°)</th>
<th>Plong (°)</th>
<th>Dp/Dm (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Littleham Mudstone Fm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line fits$</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>28/0</td>
<td>R-</td>
<td>11.9/10.4*</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>156.3</td>
<td>3.3/6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC means$</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28/25</td>
<td>Rc</td>
<td>11.2/11.2</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>2.5/4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line fits$</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>52/0</td>
<td>Rc</td>
<td>11.3/13.3*</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>154.2</td>
<td>2.6/4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC means$</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>52/27</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>2.4/10.0*</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>153.5</td>
<td>2.3/4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawlish Sandstone and Exe Breccia fms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line fits$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11/0</td>
<td>Rc</td>
<td>7.5/20.0</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>4.3/7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC means$</td>
<td>359.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>9.6/11.9*</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>185.7</td>
<td>7.2/12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Teignmouth Breccia Fm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC mean$</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10/3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>184.8</td>
<td>4.9/9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oddicombe Breccia Fm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaldon and Maidencombe$</td>
<td>191.4</td>
<td>-24.4</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>16/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>2.0/3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watcombe Fm, basal Oddicombe Breccia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watcombe$</td>
<td>173.4</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>5.9/11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other units and data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowle Sandstone$</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>6842</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter Vocl. Fm$</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>23/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>-3.8/7.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter Vocl. Fm$</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>-5.4/10.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter Gp sediments$</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3/0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group means</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aylesbeare Mudstone Gp</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>80/0</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>6.7/7.4*</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>154.9</td>
<td>2.0/3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exeter Gp sediments$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>45/0</td>
<td>Rb</td>
<td>5.5/10.0*</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>171.2</td>
<td>2.1/3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Directional means (with tectonic correction), reversal tests and VGP poles. $^*$ =great circle combined mean using method of McFadden & McElhinney (1988). $^+$ =conventional Fisher mean. Ni=number of specimens using with fitted lines, and Np =number of specimens with great circle planes used in the determining the mean direction. $\alpha_{95}$, Fisher 95% cone of confidence. k, Fisher precision parameter. G₀ is the angular separation between the inverted reverse and normal directions, and Gc is the critical value for the reversal test. In the reversal test the Go/Gc values flagged with * indicate common K values, others not flagged have statistically different K-values for reverse and normal populations, in which case a simulation reversal test was performed. Plat and Plong are the latitude and longitude of the mean virtual geomagnetic pole. $^1$From Zijderveld (1967); $^2$ from Cornwall (1967)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Ns</th>
<th>α95</th>
<th>ρ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8
Fig. 10

(A) E-W orientation of bedding in Exe Breccia, Exmouth Sandstone and Mudstone Fm and Oddicombe Breccia, Watcombe Fms.

(B) Scattergram showing relationship between Fisher k and Unfolding % for Littleham Mudstone Fm.
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Supplementary Data

The data here is composed of:

Table s1: Sampling site details, and mean magnetic properties.
Figure s1. The new bed-division of the Littleham Mudstone Fm, placed onto the photographs of the cliff outcrops.
Figure s2. Rose diagrams of the AMS Kmax axes, in the Aylesbeare Mudstone Group, against the sediment logs of the section.
Figure s3. The spatial variation in the AMS Kmax axes, of all Permian-Triassic west of Sidmouth, placed onto their sampling sites, and the palaeocurrent directions inferred from the sedimentology.
Figure s4. Component A data, and details of demagnetisation characteristics.
Figure s5. Additional rock magnetic data, pertaining to magnetic mineralogy
Figure s6. Petromagnetic data for all palaeomagnetic specimens.
Figure s7. Virtual geomagnetic pole data for Permian Europe, and a discussion of how the new data here fits with this data.

References.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>No. on Fig 1</th>
<th>Grid ref</th>
<th>Lat/long</th>
<th>Bedding strike/dip</th>
<th>$N_H$</th>
<th>$J_0$ ($x10^{-3}$ A/m)</th>
<th>$k_{lf}$ ($x10^5$ SI)</th>
<th>Formation/unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budleigh Salterton to Littleham Cove</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SY040802 to SY063817</td>
<td>50.622N: -3.342W</td>
<td>302/5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Littleham Mudstone Fm (LMF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight Point to Maer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SY040802 to SY011799</td>
<td>50.608N: -3.376W</td>
<td>340/5 to 013/8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm (EMSF), base of LMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sowden Lane to Lynmestone Harbour</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SX990836 to SX988842</td>
<td>50.638N: -3.441W</td>
<td>321/9 to 315/8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Base of EMSF, top of Exe Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyst St Mary Garage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SX976910</td>
<td>50.710N: -3.454W</td>
<td>045/5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Dawlish Sandstone Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishops Court Quarry</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SX965915</td>
<td>50.711N: -3.465W</td>
<td>045/5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>Dawlish Sandstone Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langstone Rock</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SX980779</td>
<td>50.598N: -3.445W</td>
<td>293/8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Exe Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawlish Station</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>SX964767</td>
<td>50.580N: -3.465W</td>
<td>293/10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Teignmouth Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryton Cliff and Cove</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>SX962762</td>
<td>50.577N: -3.468W</td>
<td>319/10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Teignmouth Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holcombe Beach</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SX957746</td>
<td>50.562N: -3.475W</td>
<td>342/12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Teignmouth Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ness Point to Bundle Head</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SX941720 to SX937712</td>
<td>50.533N: -3.500W</td>
<td>355/0 to 295/5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Oddicome Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maidencombe Beach</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>SX928684</td>
<td>50.505: -3.514</td>
<td>275/10 to 346/6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Oddicome Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitsand to Watcombe Beaches</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SX927674</td>
<td>50.496: -3.515</td>
<td>315/41 to 288/25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Watcombe Fm and Oddicome Breccia Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Sandford</td>
<td>Not on Fig. 1</td>
<td>SS811027</td>
<td>50.812N: -3.689W</td>
<td>105/14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>Knowle Sandstone Fm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table s1. Section and site details sampled, and average magnetic properties of the samples. $N_H$ number of sampled horizons, $J_0$= Initial natural remanent magnetisation intensity, $k_{lf}$= low frequency magnetic susceptibility. Most samples were collected from the sea cliffs, with those from Bishops Court Quarry from a working quarry (Table s1). Those from Dawlish Station and West Sandford were from small cuttings. Samples from below bed B to the base of bed A (Fig. 5), in the Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm, were from foreshore exposures and sea-cliffs, east of Exmouth. In the breccia units, suitable units for palaeomagnetic sampling were thin, discontinuous sandstone and mudstone beds.
Fig. s1. Annotated photos of the cliff between Littleham Cove (panel i) and Budleigh Salterton (panel iv), indicating the bed and division sub-division of the Littleham Mudstones Formation. The three sub-divisions are a lower division A, mid division B, and an upper division C. The oldest part of the division A is exposed on the west side of the Littleham Cove fault. The W-E correlation across the Littleham Cove fault is not entirely clear, but the three sandstone beds (bed -1 in division A) may correlate to the upper-most units exposed west of the fault in the cliff adjacent to the path down the cliff. The succession is interrupted by a number of small landslips, which make the stratigraphy difficult to follow at beach level, without the photographs. The full succession can be examined by using the headwall scars behind the landslips.
Panel iii)

Gap between photos

Interval with many paler beds

Panel iv)

Fig.s1. Panels iii) and iv)
Fig.s2. K_{max} axis directions for samples from the Aylesbeare Mudstone Group. The directions have been mirrored about the 0-180 axis. The K_{max} axes directions illustrate the similarity in ENE or easterly flow directions between the Exe Breccia and the lower part of the Exmouth Mudstone and Sandstone Fm. The directions in the upper part of the Group are more variable, but likely indicate a more NE direction of sediment transport. The SE-NW Kmax axes trends seen in the mudstones above bed G, and particularly in the Littleham Mudstones Fm may represent NW directed wind transport of the clay and silt in these units.
Fig. 3. Summary of transport directions and K\textsubscript{max} axis directions for samples from the entire Permian, and Triassic successions west of Budleigh Salterton. Inset shows data for aeolian units, from the Dawlish Sandstone Fm (from Jones 1992, Selwood et al. 1984). The K\textsubscript{max} directions have been mirrored about the 0-180° axis (fluvial transport data from Laming 1966; Henson 1971; Selwood et al. 1984; Smith & Edwards 1991). The Triassic AMS data (Otter Sandstone, Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds, BSPB) is from the samples described by Hounslow & McIntosh (2003). The Exeter Group below the Dawlish Sandstone Fm typically has bi-modal groups inferred to display ENE to easterly fluvial transport and northerly transport. Its possible in some of the sandstone and mudstones, the northerly trend may be a wind-transport direction, like seen in the aeolian units in the Dawlish Sandstone. Fluvial units in and above the Dawlish Sandstone Fm into the lower Aylesbeare Mudstone Group, show strong ENE to easterly fluvial transport. In the Littleham Mudstone Fm directions may be transitional to the northerly transport clearly seen in the overlying BSPB and Otter Sandstone.
Fig.s4. Cumulative unblocking spectra for, a), b) the component A directions, from a) the Aylesbeare Mudstone Group, b) Exeter Group. C) The characteristic remanence ranges divided into stratigraphic groups. D) Statistics relating to the demagnetisation class, the number of specimens (Ns), the average $\alpha_{95}$ of the line (S-class) or plane (T-class) principle component fits, and the average excess standard deviation ($\rho$).
Fig. S5. A,b) Representative thermomagnetic data, measured using the vertical field translation balance (red=heating, blue= cooling). A subtle change in slope is seen at temperatures less than 200°C, which disappears when the estimated paramagnetic contribution is subtracted from the curves. C), d) log-Gaussian isothermal remanent magnetisation coercivity distributions (Kruiver et al. 2001) for selected samples, showing the fitted low field (component-1, c)) and high field components (component 2, d)). IRM data obtained with backfield data. Specimen WB1-6 and MB5-5 have higher coercivities ($B_{cr}$* of 741-851 and 63 mT for the 2 components) than EM22-11 and EM30-14 ($B_{cr}$* of 407-417 and 32 mT). Furthermore, these former 2 specimens had wider high coercivity distributions (dispersion parameter of 0.40-0.45 as compared to 0.32 for EM22-11 and EM30-14).

Fig. S6. Petromagnetic data for the Exeter and Aylesbeare Mudstone groups. Sandstone samples in blue, mudstone samples in red, and sandstone & sandy-mudstone units from breccia units in green.
Fig. s7. Stable-Europe virtual geomagnetic poles (VGP) and their comparison to the VGP data from the latest Carboniferous, Permian and early-mid Triassic of the UK. Confidence cones for the units in SW England shown in grey, no confidence cones shown for other data. Each of the plots (A to E) shows the average European VGP path from Torsvik & Cocks (2005) labelled in Ma increments. (A) Lower and Middle Triassic sedimentary units, with Sherwood Sandstone Fm pole from Hounslow & McIntosh (2003), B) Permian sedimentary VGP data younger than the end of the KRPS (i.e. mid-late Wordian and younger). T=data from Taylor et al. (2009), G=from Gialanella et al. (1997). C) Sedimentary VGP data near the end of the KRPS. D) Lower Permian and latest Carboniferous sedimentary poles. E) Permian and latest Carboniferous volcanic and igneous-based VGP poles. MV=igneous units from the Scottish Midland Valley, WS=Whin sill data (from Liss et al. 2004). Data mostly from the Global Palaeomagnetic Database (http://www.ngu.no/dragon/), with newer data from Burov et al. (1998), Chen et al. (2006), Diego-Orozco et al. (2002), Nawrocki et al. (2008) and Bazhenov et al. (2008). In C) and D) rotated poles are filled circles.
Discussion of VGP data in Figure s7

Creer (1957), Zijderveld (1967) and Cornwall (1967) presented palaeomagnetic data for the Exeter Volcanic Rocks, around Exeter and further north in the Crediton Trough. Cornwall (1967) also performed reconnaissance sampling of the successions in this study, and from the underlying Torbay Breccia Fm. Whilst Zijderveld and Cornwall did use AF demagnetisation, and Cornwall in addition used thermal demagnetisation, relatively few of the sites measured by Cornwall (1967) had structural corrections, whereas the mean direction determined by Zijderveld utilised tilt corrections (Table 1). Cornwall's (1967) mean direction for the Exeter Volcanic Rocks has overly shallows inclination due to inclusion of some southerly-directed magnetisations with positive inclinations, probably due to incomplete demagnetisation of the specimens. The mean VGP pole of Zijderveld (1967) falls towards the end of the late Carboniferous to Permian European APWP path (Fig. s7e), which corresponds well to the range of VGP's from other late Carboniferous to Lower Permian volcanic and igneous units (Fig. S7e).

The sites from the Teignmouth Breccia and from Watcombe Cove have a significant number of specimens having declinations east of south (Table 1; Fig. 9a). The result of this is a more southerly mean, which does however, reflect new data acquired from the Russian Platform by Taylor et al. (2009) and Gialanella et al. (1997), which are clearly separated (Fig. s7b) from the bulk of previous Russian data obtained from successions younger than the Kiaman Superchron (i.e. Molostovsky 1983; Burov et al. 1998). Bazhenov et al. (2008) have discussed the problems of this new data as either due to mis-orientation, or vertical axis rotations, hitherto undetected in the eastern part of the Russian platform. Vertical axis rotations of up to 30° have also been inferred for Lower Permian sediments (Fig. s7c) in basins in France and Germany (Diego-Orozco et al. 2002; Chen et al. 2006), where there are clearer, strike-slip-related tectonic mechanisms to produce this. There are insufficient data in this study to attempt an answer to this for the UK successions, but the similarity in age and tectonic setting of these European basins, south of the Variscan Front infers a common geological or geomagnetic origin for these outlier VGP directions, warranting further investigation, beyond the scope of this study.
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