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Introduction:
Public health challenges and the nature of ill health are evolving. Modern societies are afflicted with a broad range of health problems¹, including epidemics of over consumption², rising rates of mental distress and disorder³, diminishing levels of well-being and increasing health and social inequalities⁴. To add further complexity the social and economic drivers of these problems, such as globalisation, an ageing workforce⁵, consumerism, individualism and economic rationalism are increasingly considered as barriers to sustainable health improvement because they rely on unsustainable exploitation of finite natural resources¹. Finally, due to the impact of global recession, European health systems are under increasing financial pressure to deliver more with diminishing resources.

This perfect storm of health challenges requires a multidisciplinary public health workforce which possesses new skills and expertise⁶. Today’s public health practitioner needs to be able to work constructively in a turbulent environment, with a wider range of stakeholders than ever before. In order to develop effective public health interventions, they have to be able to build strong collaborative networks and teams at every level of the public health system, from politicians and policy makers to the mass media and the public. The skills required to affect constructive change in these complex health care settings, and work across disciplines effectively, are not the traditional technical and academic skills which public health is founded upon, but are those of effective leadership⁷. Organisations and professionals worry about the capacity of future public health leaders⁷. Policy makers are concerned about the future responsiveness of the discipline and the presence of competent leaders is regarded as crucial to achieve progress in the field⁷ and solve complex public health challenges⁸.

Improving leadership capacity amongst public health professionals raises several challenges including; the identification of key leadership competencies, the most effective ways of teaching leadership and an adequately tailored public health leadership curriculum to address the needs of European public health professionals. An international group of educators and public health professionals have collaborated to address the above needs by developing a syllabus for a European Public Health (LEPHIE) Leadership course. The project was guided by the following objectives: to:

- examine the key debates around leadership in public health in relationship to political, economic, social and, technological change and their implications for leaders within organisations
• introduce key theoretical frameworks that underpin leadership learning, and enable the critical use of this knowledge and understanding by applying theory to actual practice within the context of public health
• develop the ability to reflect on the Public Health Leadership role and development needs of individuals and:
• stimulate self-assessment of leadership competencies by public health professionals.

Course content and development:
The Leadership for European Public Health project (LEPHIE) course was devised as a transfer of innovation from the DELTAH project; an action research programme that developed, piloted and evaluated evidence based European Healthcare Leadership programmes. DELTAH, which was funded by the EC Leonardo da Vinci Lifelong Learning programme between 2004 and 2007, provided the starting point for the LEPHIE project to develop an evidence based European Public Health Leadership programme (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEPHIE literature review results</th>
<th>DELTAH leadership programme curriculum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transformational leadership</td>
<td>Introduction to leadership (transformational, transactional and laissez faire leadership)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transactional leadership</td>
<td>Systems thinking (problem definition and analysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic leadership and systems thinking</td>
<td>Self-leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional intelligence</td>
<td>Team, intergroup and partnership working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Presentation skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change leadership</td>
<td>Leadership, conflict and negotiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political leadership</td>
<td>Leading change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical leadership</td>
<td>Leadership and learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leading individual learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leadership, influence and power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using systematic search and analysis methods to review available literature, a framework of eleven themes were identified. The themes were:

• political leadership
• ethical leadership,
• strategic leadership
• systems thinking
• charismatic/transformational leadership
• leading change
• emotional intelligence
• team leadership,
• collaborative leadership
• communication and;
• transactional leadership.

These both reinforced and contrasted with the previous project (DELTAH). Transformational and transactional leadership, systems thinking and strategy, emotional intelligence, collaboration and partnership working, communication and negotiation featured in both projects. Political leadership, ethical leadership; and leadership of change were overtly identified in the literature analysis, but did not appear in the DELTAH themes, perhaps reflecting the differing use of terminology and possibly concurring with the term, ‘effective leadership’.

A Masters level module of eight credits under the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS) (equivalent to 15 UK level seven credits) was designed. Care was taken to ensure the that the course was tailored specifically to the context of European public health, with each session developed around specific problems that reflect EU public Health priorities; in particular chronic disease and ageing. The initial curriculum was developed, piloted and evaluated at Sheffield Hallam University in the UK and after an external, independent evaluation; a revised curriculum was piloted at Maastricht University, Netherlands, Kaunas University, Lithuania and University of Graz, Austria. To increase relevance and learning effectiveness the final curriculum consists of eight sessions, which integrate public health and leadership content. They are delivered by different university centres either face-to-face or on-line. Each session includes interactive lectures, problem scenarios. There are also a series of recorded interviews available with public health leaders from a variety of European Countries. LEPHIE identified relatively few prior studies to support curriculum development for public health leaders in Europe.

**Lessons learned:**

The literature review and resulting framework minimised the gap in knowledge and helps to promote an awareness of the need to define a set of competencies and assessments to suit the learning needs of public health leaders in a broad area of practice. The UK public health register covers a wide range of roles, from those who operate at strategically at policy or a health systems level, to those concerned with the practicalities of delivering public health interventions but makes no mention of leadership requirements. The authors argue that leadership competencies are relevant across virtually all public health roles. They do however need to be applied appropriately according to the particular role and context public health practitioners find themselves to be in. For example, whilst health promotion practitioners are not politicians, they may find themselves in intensely political situations where a clear sense of vision, political engagement, advocacy and local policy development are required. Similarly, public health professionals in the most senior strategic roles are also part of teams, need to collaborate, and have excellent communication skills to be fully effective. The literature analysis and framework development confirmed and extended previous consensus based methods of curriculum design in Public Health Leadership.

**Conclusion:**

In conclusion, the LEPHIE European Public Health Leadership (LEPHIE) Curriculum aims to fill an important gap in public health education. The programme, run by a collaborative of European Higher Education institutions through the auspices of The Association of the Schools of Public
Health in European Region (ASPHER) is a key development to support the public health workforce. Further work to ensure the sustainable delivery through higher education institutions across Europe is ongoing and delivery is continually supported in its original form, or adapted for specific public health contexts or roles.
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