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Context

The term ‘Lesbian Baby Boom’ has recently emerged in the literature. Some sex couples achieve becoming a family via a number of routes: some via multiple donors and heterosexual couples conceive with the help of donor sperm within HFEA licensed clinics. Where this is not informal (i.e. with the help of a friend) these families face the same issues as other families using donor conception (DC).

The process of sharing appropriate DC information with children in a developmentally sensitive way is the choice of many families. Each family form has specific factors which may influence the information sharing process and raise challenges. These issues include: donor anonymity and changes in legislation, what information is available about the donor, the possibility of half siblings in other DC families and/or in the donor’s own family and the potential to make contact with them, and ideas about meeting the donor. Children may also be interested in factors such as genetics and DNA. Additionally young people may choose to talk about their conception with their peers and for those in lesbian (or single mother by choice) families, finding a way to talk about the absence of a father can be awkward.

With the increase in fertility provision to lesbian couples, maternity health care services have had increasing contact with lesbian co-mothers. Literature highlighted the need to investigate donor conceived lesbian families and focus on the unique experiences of co-mothers in the maternity process.

Recent research study: Lesbian co-mothers experiences of maternity care services

Method: A qualitative study was carried out involving ten lesbian co-mothers, whose children were conceived via anonymous donor insemination to their partners. Data collection took place in 2009 that involved semi-structured interviews. The interviews were transcribed and analysed using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA).

Results/Findings: Analysis revealed two main types of co-mothers’ experiences. Co-mothers felt:

1) excluded by hetero-centric organisational structures.
2) that experiences with staff were overwhelmingly positive and inclusive.

Despite positive interpersonal experiences co-mothers reported that any ambiguous or non-inclusive experience with professionals left them questioning whether these experiences were due to homophobic attitudes or professional incompetence.

Quotes:

Organisational heterocentricity:

“I would like it if the forms just said mother’s name, but then under a blank you know where you could cross out fathers and could write co-parent.”

Elena

“I would love it if our local hospital would make the forms more inclusive.”

Elena

“The NCT leader kept saying ‘right, mum’s over here, dad, I mean our partner,’ so she said ‘I mean, my partner’ about three times before she finally got her head around just saying partners.”

Elena

“You know you get your [slapper] guide to whatever, and they start giving you freebies and it’s incredibly heterosexual. And, I found that quite disturbingly real because I thought you know they’re relying quite a lot on giving you this information and they don’t even attempt to make it inclusive, and it wouldn’t take much to use a different language, and I just thought that’s perpetuating a myth that means everybody is sort of colliding in this idea that everybody is heterosexual.”

Rukshana

Positive experiences with staff:

“They just treated me like less partner you know talking to me as much as they talked to her and treating me like an equal part.”

Maja

“Beautiful was really her word throughout, you know, do you want to cut the cord and stuff? Where’s your camera, let’s take lots of pictures and they were just so excited and absolutely treating me like the excited parent.”

Amy

Questioning experiences as homophbic:

“Homophobia is so difficult because it’s so invisible and you can never quite tell whether somebody’s doing something, because of that or somebody’s just totally incompetent.”

Monica

“There was again no negativity there at all, certainly not to us um face to face.”

Susan

“They’re not a big asterisk and said check partner, so that they were politically correct so that they didn’t assume that it was a man and a woman, they made a note which initially Karen was a bit like ‘oh why did they make such an issue of it?”

Charlotte

Conclusions: The results indicate that these lesbian co-mothers felt predominantly included and accepted by maternity services. Ambiguous interpersonal experiences, however, evoked doubts in this regard. In addition, organisational heterocentric structural barriers remain. Structural service delivery changes could facilitate co-mother’s feelings of inclusion and highlight the important role practitioners have in contributing to co-mothers’ experiences.

Implications for practice and/or policy

Practitioners

• Exploration of attitudes to different family forms within a safe environment as part of training.

• Avoiding assumptions

• Explicit use of inclusive and affirming language

• Genuine curiosity and respect regarding how same sex couples want to be referred

Structural changes

• Explicit reference to lesbian families and same sex couples want to be referred to

• Use of photographs which are more inclusive

• Documentation

• Explicit reference to lesbian families and same sex couples want to be referred to

• Workshops to facilitate use of inclusive language

• Greater awareness of Donor Conception issues that individuals and couples feel more supported

Donor Conception Network

www.donor-conception-network.org

The Donor Conception Network (DCN) is a parent-led ‘half help network’ of over 1,600 families created with the help of donated eggs, sperm or embryos; couples and individuals seeking to find a family this way; and adults conceived using a donor. The Network’s main aim is to support and guide those who have used or are planning to use donor conception to start or add to their family. It advocates openness with children, and helps parents to think how to talk with their children from an early age. In addition to online and other resources, the DCN runs two national meetings every year and has a range of regional and special interest groups.

Children’s Workshops: For the last six years, half day workshops have run for 8-12 year olds who have been conceived with donated sperm, eggs or embryos or who have siblings who have been. These workshops have provided a welcome opportunity for young people to explore and think about DC issues together. Children from lesbian-conceived families have always been included.

Workshop Feedback: This has been sought each time but a recent qualitative evaluation (in process of analysis) showed that these were valued by lesbian parents and their offspring.

Children have usually enjoyed the group and said it was fun. Some brief comments have been that it “boosted my confidence,” children “felt more at home and could relate to others who also had a ‘donor parent’ because we understand each other” and “it felt a bit strange but at school I feel the odd one out but here I am not.”

One child in a lesbian family reported after a recent group that the three things he liked were: Meeting some of my friends who were there last year, I enjoyed talking about my donor and I liked listening to other people’s stories as well. The only thing he didn’t like was that it was all different.

Comments from lesbian parents:

“Maybo they was fun and she enjoyed meeting other children with donors. She was and is very proud of her son, it was useful to read about how that activity worked. I was impressed with the way the group was run. Macy was very happy and contented in herself straight after the group. Since then she has not talked about it much, but we are very relaxed about the subject in our house, so I’m sure she would say if there was anything, it has seemed to meet a need for Macy and for our family, so thank you very much for running it.”

Lesbian mother of boy aged 10

“Thank you for the summary. It is good to hear about the group. James seems more relaxed since attending. We used to tell him a story about his donor. The group was good because it was like being with people who knew exactly as you. It’s the only place you can talk about it really.”

Lesbian parents of boy aged 11

“We hoped that the children’s workshop would bring issues of being donor conceived to the surface for us as a family. The issue of donor conception comes up in our home but often it’s we as parents who make a link. We hoped in Douglas coming to the workshop that he could continue to make links and bring up his issues and include them in our family.”

“We also wanted Douglas to start engaging with the fact that his donor has helped other families. We have spoken about this recently but didn’t want to force the idea. So we felt if other children were talking about this he would have another chance to pick up on this if he wanted to. Or on any other issues that were being raised in the group.”

“We also hoped it would give Douglas a space to talk about having received news about his donor [becoming identifiable]. We have spoken about this as a family but he hasn’t been able to speak to his children his own age in detail about this. In the days coming up to the workshop and afterwards we as a family did talk a lot about being donor conceived. The workshop gave the focus for talking about issues in a relevant way. We had a reason to be talking about issues at that time!"